

Chloromercury(II) anions

Donald A. House and Ward T. Robinson

Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch (New Zealand)

Vickie McKee

Chemistry Department, Queen's University, Belfast BT9 5AG (UK)

(Received 3 June 1993; accepted 2 September 1993)

CONTENTS

Abstract	533
A. Introduction	535
B. Early work	540
(i) Structural predictions based on spectroscopic studies	541
C. HgCl_2 and its adducts	542
(i) HgCl_2 adducts	542
D. Individual anions	543
(i) Monomercury(II) chloride ions, HgCl_3^- , HgCl_4^{2-} , HgCl_5^{3-} and HgCl_6^{4-}	543
(a) HgCl_3^- anions	543
(b) Tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} anions	559
(c) Trigonal bipyramidal HgCl_5^{3-} anions	563
(d) Octahedral HgCl_6^{4-} anions	563
(ii) Dimercury(II) chloride ions, Hg_2Cl_5^- , $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$, $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ and $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$	564
(a) The $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ anion	564
(b) The $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ anion	565
(iii) Trimercury(II) chloride ions, Hg_3Cl_7^- , $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$ and $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{16}^{10-}$	566
(a) The $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$ anion	566
(b) Other $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_x^{n-}$ anions	567
E. The $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_{2x+1}^-$ anions ($x=2-6$)	569
F. Hg(II)-Cl stereochemistry	572
G. Conclusions	572
H. Appendix	573
(i) Bond length–bond angle correlations	573
(ii) Structural units predicted on the core plus anion concepts	573
Acknowledgements	578
References	579

ABSTRACT

The nature of $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ anions, characterized by single-crystal X-ray structural analysis, is reviewed. Only compounds with Hg in the 2+ oxidation state are considered and the range of compounds has

Correspondence to: D.A. House, Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand.

been restricted to those with two or more Hg–Cl bonds. The problem of weak Hg·····Cl interactions is considered using “structure-correlation” concepts and a value of 3.35 Å is regarded as an upper limit for Hg·····Cl bonding. The anions are considered in terms of $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ units, rather than in terms of classical “coordination numbers”. Four features emerge: (i) the configuration of the anion cannot be determined from the analytical stoichiometry; (ii) $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ stoichiometries show a marked propensity for multi-anion combinations; (iii) some of the $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ formulations, such as $\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$, proposed at the turn of the century, have been fully justified; and (iv) it is still not possible to predict, even for simple stoichiometries such as HgCl_3^- , the form that will be adopted in the solid state.


LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BEDTT-TTF	bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene [233]
bis-L-trypt	bis(L-tryptophanium) [189]
Br_2pyNO	3,5-dibromopyridine N-oxide [95]
bpy	2,2-bipyridyl
Bu^+	$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2^+$
bzpipNH	<i>n</i> -benzylpiperazium [127]
18-crown-6	1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane [108]
cyclam	1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane [15]
dan	naphthalene-1,8-diamine [153]
dapmp	2,6-diacetylpyridine bis[<i>N</i> -methyl- <i>N</i> -(2'-pyridyl)hydrazone] [193]
diditet	<i>N,N'</i> -diethyl-imidazolidine-2-thione [112]
dien	$\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2$
dienH	$[\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3]^+$
dienH ₃	$[\text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3]^{3+}$
ditet	<i>N</i> -ethyl-imidazolidine-2-thione [112]
DMSO	dimethylsulphoxide
dpm (= dppm)	$\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{CH}_2)\text{PPh}_2$ [135,154]
dmpmp	bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)phenylphosphine [156]
dppeS ₂	$\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{S})(\text{CH}_2)_2(\text{S})\text{PPh}_2$ [116]
dppmS ₂	$\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{S})(\text{CH}_2)(\text{S})\text{PPh}_2$ [117]
dpt	$\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2$
dptH ₃	$[\text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_3]^{3+}$
DTO	$[\text{CH}_2\text{S}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{OH}]_2$ [147]
en	$\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2$
enH	$[\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)\text{NH}_3]^+$
enH ₂	$[\text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3]^{2+}$
Et	CH_3CH_2^+
HBTN	2-(α -hydroxybenzyl)thiamin [128]
H ₂ dapp	2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(2'-pyridylhydrazone) [28]
hist	histidine [109]
H ₄ tff	tetrahydrotetrathiafulvalene [74,84,185]
IR	infrared
Me	CH_3^-
9Mehyp	9-methylhypoxanthine [165]
mes (= mesitylene)	1,3,5-Me ₃ -benzene [149]
NQR	nuclear quadrupole resonance
pen	D,L-penicillamine [150]
Ph	C_6H_5^-
Pr [†]	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}^-$

Pr ⁿ	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ [−]
py	pyridine
pyNO	pyridine N-oxide
R	alkyl group
T	thiamine [10]
333-tet	NH ₂ (CH ₂) ₃ NH(CH ₂) ₃ NH(CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂ [14]
tetb	<i>rac</i> -5,5,7,12,12,14-Me ₆ cyclam [16]
TMTTF	tetramethyltetrathiafulvalene [187]
TPP	1,2,5-triphenylphosphole [137]
trienH ₄	[NH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ NH ₂ (CH ₂)NH ₂ (CH ₂)NH ₃] ⁴⁺
ttp	1,4,8,11-tetrathiacyclotetradecane [120]

A. INTRODUCTION

Compounds containing Hg_xCl_y^{n−} anions are of interest to structural chemists, theoretical chemists and vibrational spectroscopists [1]. Of the generalizations that have been made with regard to these salts, one is outstanding in its significance, namely “the configuration of the anion does not follow from the stoichiometry” [2]. Thus K₂HgCl₄·H₂O does not contain discrete HgCl₄^{2−} anions, but distorted HgCl₆ octahedra forming columns which share two opposite edges [3,4] (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. (HgCl₄^{2−})_n in K₂HgCl₄·H₂O [3–6].

Nevertheless, there are now many examples where more or less tetrahedral HgCl₄^{2−} anions are formed (Table 1), despite the fact that these were considered (in 1965) to be exceptions to the generalization that “the characteristic coordination for chloro-mercury complexes is generally digonal” [2].

Another, frequently unsuspected characteristic of Hg_xCl_y^{n−} salts is the propensity to form multi-anionic combinations from relatively simple stoichiometries. In one case [18], “Hg₂Cl₇^{3−}” is found to be an [HgCl₄^{2−}]₂[Hg₂Cl₆^{2−}][Hg₄Cl₁₄^{6−}] combination and the “double salt” 2CaCl₂·11HgCl₂·16H₂O is shown to contain the [Hg₆Cl₁₃[−]][Hg₅Cl₁₃^{3−}] combination [31]. This multi-anion phenomenon is also observed in Hg_xI_y^{n−} systems [32].

It is some time since the topic of chloromercury(II) systems has been reviewed [2,33,37] and several interesting structural units have recently been characterized.

Here we concentrate on charge-type relationships, and the number of Hg atoms in the anion, rather than on the coordination number [2,37], because the distinction between bonded and non-bonded chloride ions surrounding Hg(II) is not always clear. The sum of the van der Waals’ radii (a normally accepted cut-off point) is not

TABLE 1

Salts containing discrete pseudo-tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} anions

Salt	Cl–Hg–Cl bond angles (°) Hg–Cl bond lengths (Å)						Reference
$[\text{MeNH}_3^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	103.9	108.9	109.4	109.4	110.8	114.2	7
	2.464	2.467	2.470	2.478			
$[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	101.73	108.38	108.49	108.89	113.75	114.70	8
	2.424	2.457	2.479	2.530			
$[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	103.07	104.34	104.60	109.19	114.62	119.08	9
	2.427	2.437	2.481	2.574			
$[\text{ThH}^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	102.5	102.8	103.0	109.4	117.0	120.1	10
	2.359	2.477	2.514	2.553			
$[\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{22}\text{S}_4^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]^a$	100.9	101.9	104.2	110.1	118.4	122.1	11
	2.441	2.462	2.476	2.523			
	102.5	107.6	109.6	109.8	111.4	115.8	
	2.448	2.465	2.474	2.535			
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	98.2	105.5	106.4	111.6	113.0	119.4	12
	2.439	2.461	2.468	2.559			
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	92.6	104.9	113.1	113.2	113.7	117.1	12
	2.395	2.460	2.500	2.562			
$\text{Cs}_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	103.1	103.1	104.8	112.9	112.9	118.6	13
	2.386	2.453	2.453	2.458			
$[\text{HgCl}(\text{333-tet})^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	108.8 ($\times 6$)						14
	2.503 ($\times 4$)						
$[\text{HgCl}(\text{cyclam})^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$	107.8 ($\times 2$)	108.2 ($\times 2$)	116.0 ($\times 2$)				15
	2.50 ($\times 4$)						
$[\text{Hg}(\text{tetb})^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]^d$	95.0	106.4	106.4	113.8	117.1	117.1	16
	2.421	2.421	2.529	2.529			

$[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]^c$	—	—	—	—	—	—	17
<i>u-fac</i> - $[\text{Cr}(\text{dien})_2][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	96.9 2.431	106.5 2.479	108.3 2.528	109.7 2.557	117.5	118.3	18
<i>mer</i> - $[\text{Cr}(\text{dien})_2][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}][\text{Cl}^-][\text{DMSO}]_2$	101.8 2.454	105.7 2.454	105.7 2.518	111.2 2.518	111.2	119.8	18
<i>cis</i> - $[\text{CrCl}(\text{en})_2(\text{Hen})^3+][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}][\text{Cl}^-]$	99.0 2.443	103.0 2.446	111.3 2.477	113.0 2.543	113.3	116.2	18
$(\pm)\text{-}[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_3^b$	108.9 2.466 94.7 2.457 92.8 2.452	108.9 2.469 98.2 2.485 97.7 2.486	109.0 2.475 102.2 2.496 104.5 2.511	109.4 2.476 106.1 2.612 106.3 2.612	109.9	110.5 136.5 135.6	19
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_3^{3+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}][\text{Cl}^-]$	92.0 2.434	99.6 2.445	103.4 2.535	116.4 2.477	121.0	121.4	20
<i>s-fac</i> - $[\text{Cr}(\text{dien})_2^{3+}]_4[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_2 \cdot [\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}] \cdot [\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}]$	99.8 2.432	100.6 2.444	103.3 2.516	114.2 2.544	118.4	120.6	18
$[\text{Cs}^+]_3[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}][\text{Cl}^-]$	100.8 2.438	100.8 2.438	105.9 2.441	105.9 2.540	111.7	123.1	21
$[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_2^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]^a$	103.1 2.457 103.0 2.454	105.5 2.503 105.6 2.501	106.0 2.516 105.8 2.516	108.5 2.520 108.6 2.523	115.3	118.9 118.7	22
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	100.5 2.438	— 2.444	— 2.529	— 2.531	—	121.1	23

TABLE 1 (continued)

Salt	Cl–Hg–Cl bond angles (°)						Reference
	Hg–Cl bond lengths (Å)						
[C ²⁺][HgCl ₄ ²⁻].2H ₂ O	98	102	102	110	110	119	24,25
	2.48	2.48	2.51	2.51			
[Me ₄ N ⁺] ₂ [HgCl ₄ ²⁻] ^{c,e,g}	105.9	107.8	108.2	110.2	110.9	114.1	26,27
	2.463	2.472	2.476	2.480			
[Hg(H ₂ dapp) ²⁺][HgCl ₄ ²⁻]	96.0	104.8	109.2	110.4	110.5	124.4	28
	2.454	2.412	2.624	2.500			
[ClHgNC ₆ H ₁₂ Cl ⁺] ₂ [HgCl ₄ ²⁻].[C ₆ H ₆].H ₂ O ^f	96.5	105.2	100.5	141.1			29
	2.387	2.387	2.643	2.643			
[C ₁₀ H ₂₂ N ₈ O ₄ ⁺] ₂ [HgCl ₄ ²⁻].2H ₂ O	103.2	106.7	106.7	113.0	113.0	113.9	30
	2.462	2.463	2.481	2.482			

^aTwo independent anions.^bOne independent HgCl₄²⁻ anion and a possible Hg₂Cl₈⁴⁻ unit (see Section D.(ii)(b)).^cIsomorphous with [Me₄N⁺]₂[HgBr₄²⁻] [26].^d*cis*-Hg(tetb)²⁺ cation weakly interacting with the HgCl₄²⁻ anion [16].^eIsomorphous with the corresponding Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ salts [17].^fThis anion may be distorted by close association with a chloro ligand from the cation [29].^gStructural data at –70°C (see Table 9).

well defined [38], and estimates range from 3.17 to 3.53 Å [2,39]. Indeed, the covalent radius of Hg(II) (1.48 Å [2] to 1.73 Å [40]) may well depend on the stereochemistry adopted.

Statements such as “fifth and sixth Hg–Cl contacts are found for some of the atoms, but these distances are of the order 3.37–3.47 Å and are no longer considered to contribute to the bonding” or “this chlorine completes the environment about the Hg but does not contribute to the bonding”, frequently occur.


This difficulty has led to concepts such as “effective coordination number” defined as the number of atoms that, in the solid state, approach the mercury at distances less than the sum of the van der Waals’ radii (3.30 Å) [2], or “secondary interactions” [36].

Even adhering to this criterion causes problems, e.g. “the distances are at the limit of the van der Waals’ contact distance and, as a result, the influence of these Hg–Cl interactions is difficult to ascertain completely”.




In view of these uncertainties, and with a considerable quantity of crystallographic data now available, a more quantitative approach to the question of the limit of Hg–Cl interaction has been investigated. In this approach, the concept of the “structure-correlation method”, as developed by Burgi and Dunitz [41,43], is applied to Cl–Hg–Cl + Cl[−] interactions. Thus the observed Cl–Hg–Cl angle is plotted against the approaching Hg–Cl distance for structural fragments containing one distorting chloro ligand (Scheme 2). In most cases it is easy to recognize two short and one long Hg–Cl bonds and thus the distorting angle is easily identified. However, in nearly regular HgCl₃[−] units the choice is sometimes not quite so clear.

As a result of angle deformation analysis for Cl[−] + HgCl₂ → HgCl₃[−], HgCl₄^{2−} + HgCl₂ → Hg₂Cl₆^{2−} and 2Cl[−] + HgCl₂ → HgCl₄^{2−} (see Appendix), we find an upper limit for the Cl[−] + Hg_xCl_y^{z−} interaction of 3.02 Å. Ben Salah et al. [9] have attempted a similar correlation using HgCl₂ + 2Cl[−] → HgCl₄^{2−} data where the average of the two longer Hg–Cl bonds is plotted against the average of the two shorter Hg–Cl bonds. Unfortunately, their plot has considerable scatter and is distinctly curved. They conclude that “Hg–Cl bond lengths of the order of 3.0–3.2 Å appear to give non-negligible contribution to the bonding in these complexes”, and an upper limit was not established. Despite this internal consistency, the fact remains that there are associations of structural units with Hg–Cl distances of greater than 3.03 Å (Schemes 3 and 4), which are examples of isolated clusters, as well as infinite linking of (HgCl₃)_n[−] chains (Scheme 5).

As a working definition, and from the considerable structural data now available, we will regard Hg–Cl distances of up to 3.35 Å as being part of the coordination sphere about the Hg(II) atom. Hg–Cl distances greater than 3.35 Å are not considered as part of the Hg(II) structural unit. This is equivalent to using the “effective coordination number” concept with a cut-off at 3.35 Å, a value slightly greater than the sum of the estimated van der Waals’ radii (1.48 Å (Hg) + 1.77 Å (Cl)) [2]. Even this value may be conservative and an upper limit for the Hg····Cl interaction of



Scheme 2.

Scheme 3. $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$ [44].Scheme 4. $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$ [18].Scheme 5. $(\text{HgCl}_3^-)_n$.

3.55 Å would be possible if 1.73 Å were used for the Hg(II) radius [40]. There are, however, relatively few systems where Hg·····Cl distances of this magnitude are observed [38,45]. In this regard, we note that all the Hg·····Cl cross-linking distances in polymeric HgCl_3^- anions (Scheme 5, Table 2) are less than 3.3 Å.

B. EARLY WORK

A survey of the “classical” inorganic literature [58,59] reveals that chloromercury(II) anions of extremely diverse composition had been discovered by the mid to late 18th century. Daniel Strömholm [60] describes a whole host of $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ salts using $\text{R}_3\text{S}^+\text{Cl}^- + \text{HgCl}_2$, $\text{R}_4\text{N}^+\text{Cl}^- + \text{HgCl}_2$ and $[\text{PtN}_4]^{2+}(\text{Cl}^-)_2 + \text{HgCl}_2$ ($\text{N} \equiv \text{monoamine}$) as model systems. It is obvious from his work that the size of the cation (by varying R or $\text{N} \equiv \text{monoamine}$) is critical in determining the value of x (≤ 6) in the $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ anion. It is also apparent that, due to the uncertain nature of the anions, this work has never appeared in contemporary

TABLE 2

Association of HgCl_3^- units in $(\text{HgCl}_3)_n^{n-}$ chains (Scheme 5)

Hg...Cl interanion distances (Å)	Reference
2.851, 3.248	46
2.883, 2.888	47
2.901, ?	48
2.92, 3.22	49
2.922, ?	48
2.93, 3.27	49
2.982, 3.112	50
2.997, 3.145	51
3.017, 3.054	52
3.022, 3.204	53
3.030, 3.030	54
3.040, 3.049	39

Structural data for isolated HgCl_3^- anions		
Hg–Cl distances (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl angles (°)	Reference
2.35(1)	101.0(4)	29
2.42(1)	120.2(4)	
2.46(1)	137.7(4)	29
2.29(1)	103.2(4)	
2.40(1)	114.0(4)	
2.54(1)	142.1(4)	55
2.371(5)	108(1)	
2.431(4)	120(1)	
2.503(4)	130(1)	56
2.400(8)	114.2(3)	
2.406(10)	120.5(3)	
2.434(10)	124.9(3)	57
2.391(4)	105.30(14)	
2.432(4)	115.78(15)	
2.504(4)	138.34(14)	

text books and is missing from a modern compilation [37], although in this publication the authors' terms of reference were restricted.

Nevertheless, isomorphous rhombohedral salts of $\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$ with R_4N^+ and R_3S^+ ($\text{R} \equiv \text{Me}$, Et) had been isolated by about 1900 [60], were "rediscovered" in about 1968 [61,62], and were structurally characterized [63] 90 years after their isolation. Similarly "forgotten" have been the alkali metal salts of $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$ [63–69].

(i) *Structural predictions based on spectroscopic studies*

Several papers have described the IR, Raman or NQR spectra of $[\text{M}_x^+][\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}]$ salts [1,70,71] and, using these data, predictions have been made as to the structural

units involved in the anion. In one or two cases, subsequent single-crystal X-ray data have appeared, and it is interesting to compare the predictions with those found in the structural studies.

For example, as a result of far IR spectroscopy, Barr and Goldstein [72] conclude that α -[Et₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]] consists of “essentially monomeric anionic units. If halogen bridging is present, it is so weak that it has little influence on the vibrational spectrum”. The subsequent crystal structure [52] indicates that potential chloride bridging contacts occur at 3.054 and 3.017 Å.

In the same paper [72], IR studies indicate that [Me₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]] is “in the same structural category” as α -[Et₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]]. Subsequent single-crystal X-ray structural analysis [48] indicates bridging chloro ligands at 2.901–2.922 Å.

In contrast, for [Pr₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]], Hg–Cl–Hg bridges are strongly implied from IR spectral data, and while the structure of this complex has not yet been determined, the structure of [Bu₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]] [73] clearly demonstrates the formation of Hg₂Cl₆^{2−} units.

The structure of [Et₄N⁺][HgCl₃[−]] has also been predicted [61] as “an infinite chain of HgCl₄ tetrahedra sharing chloride ion corners ... Dimeric Hg₂Cl₆^{2−} units, however, cannot be excluded”. This is certainly not the structure adopted by the α -isomer [52], but bridging chlorides are proposed [72] for the β -form.

The present situation can be summarized according to Konovalov and Davarski [74] who conclude that, while Hg–X distances can be estimated to ± 0.05 Å from IR data, it is not possible to predict the coordination environment or structural units from such measurements.

C. HgCl₂ AND ITS ADDUCTS

HgCl₂ in the gas phase [45,75] is strictly linear (180(16)°) [45] with equal Hg–Cl distances (2.252(5) Å) [45], but in the solid state the Hg–Cl bonds are not exactly equal and there are small distortions from 180.0° (Table 3). If the upper limit of Hg····Cl = 3.55 Å is allowed, then Hg is essentially six-coordinate in HgCl₂(s), as there are four (previously considered non-bonding [80]) additional Hg····Cl distances of 3.37, 3.39, 3.44 and 3.48 Å. The HgCl₂ molecule appears to have some flexibility, as symmetrical structures are adopted when required by crystal symmetry [83], but distorted arrangements result when this restriction is relaxed [81].

(i) HgCl₂ adducts [34]

Neutral molecules or salts can often crystallize with HgCl₂. These can be called “adducts” or “coordination compounds” [74] and examples where structural information is available are listed in Table 4. In general, as the strength of the interaction between donor atoms from the adduct and Hg increases, the Hg–Cl bond distance increases. For non-octahedral geometries, the Cl–Hg–Cl angle also distorts from

TABLE 3
Hg–Cl distances in HgCl₂^{a,b}

Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
HgCl ₂ (g)	2.29(2) × 2		180(40)	75
HgCl ₂ (g)	2.252(5) × 2		180(16)	45
HgCl ₂ (s)	2.23		180	76
	2.27			
HgCl ₂ (s)	2.25 × 2	3.34 × 2	180	77
		3.63 × 2		
HgCl ₂ (s)	2.25	3.23		78
HgCl ₂ (s)	2.24			79
	2.26			
HgCl ₂ (s)	2.284(12)	3.37	178.9(5)	80
	2.301(14)			
Mean	2.262(21)			
HgCl ₂ (s) ^c	2.327(7)		172.7(2)	81
	2.304(7)			

^aHgCl₂ in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) solution [82]: 2.350(4) × 2; HgCl₂ in MeOH solution [82]: 2.308(3) × 2.

^bHgCl₂, M_R = 271.50, orthorhombic, *Pnma*, *a* = 12.765(6), *b* = 5.972(3), *c* = 4.330(2) (at 298 K), ρ_{calc} = 5.464 g cm⁻³, Z = 4 [80], m.p. 277°C, b.p. 302°C, 6.9 g dissolves in 100 ml H₂O at 293 K.

^cEncapsulated in a ruthenocenophane thio-oxa macrocycle.

linearity as the Hg–donor atom strength increases. A plot of Hg–X distance vs. Cl–Hg–Cl angle has considerable scatter as donor atoms include O, N, S, P and Se as well as Cl.

D. INDIVIDUAL ANIONS

Table 5 presents a compilation of the known Hg_xCl_yⁿ⁻ anions. In the following sections we will discuss the occurrence and nature of these species.

(i) Monomercury(II) chloride ions, HgCl₃⁻, HgCl₄²⁻, HgCl₅³⁻ and HgCl₆⁴⁻

(a) HgCl₃⁻ anions

There is much confusion in the literature with regard to the nature of salts such as [NH₄⁺][HgCl₃⁻] or [Na⁺][HgCl₃⁻]. This partly arises from the method of preparation and partly due to polymorph formation [173]. Thus [NH₄⁺][HgCl₃⁻], when obtained from a melt of NH₄Cl and HgCl₂, is the tetragonal α-form [174–176] isomorphous with [Rb⁺][HgCl₃⁻] [174], but when obtained from aqueous solution is the orthorhombic β-form (*Pnam*) isomorphous with

TABLE 4

Hg–Cl distances in HgCl₂ adducts

Monomeric adducts: Hg.....Cl systems		Compound	
Hg–Cl	(Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl	Reference
(H ₄ tf) ₃ ·(HgCl ₂) ₃	2.309(5) × 2	2.989(6) × 2	180
[2+2+2]	3.235(5) × 2	3.004(5) × 2	180
(DMSO) ₂ ·(HgCl ₂) ₃	2.306(6) × 2	3.081(6) × 2	180
[2+2+2]	2.30	2.88, 3.06,	87–89
(Et ₂ S) ₂ ·(HgCl ₂) ₂	2.33	3.00, 3.16	180(?)
[2+4]	2.30 × 2	2.93, 3.21,	168
(C ₈ H ₁₂ S ₂)·(HgCl ₂) ₂		3.02, 4.44	90
[2+4]			
Hg.....O systems		Compound	
Hg–Cl	(Å)	Hg.....O	Reference
(K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇)·HgCl ₂	2.349(2) × 2	2.636(7) × 2	180
[1-2+2+2(O)]	2.343(2) × 2	2.663(7) × 2	180
(Uracil) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.299(6) × 2	2.71(x) × 2	180(?)
[1-2+2+2(O)]			92
(Dihydrotrouacil) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.284(8) × 2	2.88(3) × 2	180(?)
[1-2+2+2(O)]			92
(Quinoline-N-oxide)·HgCl ₂	2.299	2.56	174
[1-2+2+2(O)]	2.304	2.61	93
(DMSO) ₂ ·(HgCl ₂) ₃	2.309(6)	2.56(1)	166.0(2)
o-[2+2+2(O)]	2.320(6)	2.52(1)	85
(MeOH) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.31 × 2	2.82 × 2	180(?)
[2+2+2(O)]			94
(Br ₂ pyNO)·HgCl ₂	2.29	2.51	173.5
			95

[2+2+1(O)]	2.31	3.21			
(Bu ₃ SO)·HgCl ₂	2.33(1)	3.13(1)	2.59(3)	167.0(5)	86
[2+2+1(O)]	2.35(1)	3.16(1)			
(Ph ₂ SO)·HgCl ₂	2.291(4)	3.284(5)	2.58(1)	172.4(1)	96
[2+2+1(O)+1(Ph)(?)]	2.289(4)	3.230(6)			
(Azoxyanisol)·HgCl ₂	2.285	3.10, 3.15,	2.60	175	93
[2+3+1(O)]	2.304	3.18			
(C ₆ H ₆ O ₂)·HgCl ₂	2.33 × 2	2.94, 3.18,	2.38	180	97
[2+3+1(O)]		3.34			
(1,4-Cyclohexanedione)·HgCl ₂	2.30 × 2	—	2.79 × 2	173	98
[2+2(O)]		—			
(Dioxane)·HgCl ₂	2.34 × 2	—	2.66 × 2		99
[2+2(O)]		—			
(Polyethyleneoxide)·HgCl ₂	2.30 × 2	—	2.62 × 2	176.6	100,101
Type I [2+2(O)]		—			
(Polyethyleneoxide)·HgCl ₂	2.23	—	2.79	171.7	100
Type II [2+2(O)]	2.25	—			
(EtOH) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.31 × 2	—	3.07 × 2		78
[2+2(O)]		—			
(Ph ₃ AsO) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.33(1)	—	2.32(3)	146.5(4)	89,93,102
[2+2(O)]	2.32(1)	—	2.37(3)		
(Testosterone) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.296(6) × 2	—	2.48(2) × 2	165	103
[2+2(O)]		—			
(Cyclononane)·HgCl ₂	2.27 ^e		2.87 ^e	177.3	104
[2+2(O)]					
μ-Hg(C ₄ H ₆ NO) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.320(5)	3.33	2.56(2)	166.8(2)	105
[2+2(O)]	2.314(5)		2.58(1)		
[Cu ₄ (damp) ₂ (AcO) ₂ (OH) ₂][HgCl ₂ (AcO)] ₂ [HgCl ₂]	2.355(8)		2.43(2)	154.2(4)	106
	2.298(9)		2.45(1)		
[2+2(O)]					
[2+2(O)]	2.300(7) × 2		2.76(2) × 2	180.00	

TABLE 4 (continued)

<i>Hg·····O systems</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)		Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
(biuret) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ [2 + 4(O)]	2.30 × 2	—	2.76 × 2 2.95 × 2	180	107
(Hexaethyleneglycoldiethylether)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 4(O)]	2.298 2.319	—	2.66–2.91	175.9	100
(Tetraethyleneglycoldimethylether)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 5(O)]	2.311(13) 2.291(13)	—	2.78–2.96	174.2	100
(Tetraethyleneglycoldiethylether)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 5(O)]	2.35	—	(?)	180(?)	100
(18-crown-6)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 6(O)]	2.314(1) × 2	—	2.825(4) × 6	180	108
(Macro O ₅ N)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 5(O) + 1(N)]	2.327(2) × 2		2.887(9) × 2 2.826(7) × 2	180	57
(Macro O ₅ MeN)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 5(O) + 1(N)]	2.327(2) × 2		2.753(6) 2.767(5) 2.922(6) 2.961(6) 2.968(6) 2.739(6) (Hg–N)	174.07(8)	57
(hist)·HgCl ₂ [3 + 1 + 1(O)]	2.34 × 2 2.53	3.25	2.54	126	109
(pyNO)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2 + 2(O)]	2.316(16) 2.339(15)	3.185(18) 3.318(17)	2.59(5) 2.60(5)	163.1(19)	110
<i>Hg·····S systems</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Hg·····S (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
(Et ₂ S) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ [1 + 4 + 1(S)]	2.35	2.70, 2.85, 3.55, 3.64	2.41	—	89,111

(C ₄ H ₈ S)·HgCl ₂ [1 + 4 + 1(S)]	2.30	2.62, 2.83, 3.07, 3.89	2.40	—	89
(diditet)·HgCl ₂ [1 + 2 + 1(S)]	2.36(2)	2.58(2) 2.70(2)	2.42(2)	110.9(5)	112
(Thiourea) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ ^f [2 + 1 + 2(S)]	2.57(1) × 2	3.22	2.416(6) × 2	180(?)	113, 114
(H ₄ tff)·(HgCl ₂) ₃ [2 + 3 + 2(S)]	2.307(5) 2.319(5)	3.294(5) 3.349(6) 3.336(5)	3.131(4) 3.124(6)	169.7	84
[(Me)S(Et)]·HgCl ₂ [1 + 2 + 1 + 1(S)]	2.37(1)	2.61(1) 2.71(1) 3.36(1)	2.47(1)	110(1)	115
(dppmS ₂)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.445(2) 2.455(2)	—	2.557(2) 2.613(2)	111.1(1)	116
(dppeS ₂)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.447(3) 2.442(3)	—	2.559(3) 2.546(3)		117
(C ₃ H ₆ S ₃)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.44(1) × 2	—	2.61(1) × 2	117(4)	118
(C ₈ H ₁₂ S ₂)·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.51 2.50	—	2.53 × 2	99	90, 114
(ditet) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.51(1) 2.52(1)	—	2.49(1) 2.50(1)	107.6(3)	112
(C ₄ H ₈ SO) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.43 2.52	—	2.54 2.59	114	119
(ttp)·(HgCl ₂) ₂ [2 + 2(S)]	2.407 2.419	—	2.580 2.699	117.5	120

TABLE 4 (continued)

<i>Hg</i> <i>S</i> systems				
Compound	Hg-Cl (Å)	Hg....Cl (Å)	Cl-Hg-Cl (°)	Reference
(Thiourea) ₃ ·HgCl ₂	2.24	—	168(?)	121
[2+3(S)]	2.36	—	—	—
(Dithiapropellane)·HgCl ₂	2.395	—	122.8	122
[2+2(S)]	2.486	—	—	—
[2+4(S)]	2.330 × 2	—	—	—
(Thiourea) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	—	3.067(4) × 2	—	—
[0+4+2(S)]	—	3.071(4) × 2	—	—
<i>Hg</i> <i>N</i> systems				
Compound	Hg-Cl (Å)	Hg....Cl (Å)	Hg....N (Å)	Reference
(py) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.34 × 2	3.25 × 2	2.60 × 2	124,125
[2+2+2(N)]	—	—	—	—
[0+4+2(N)]	—	2.765(2) × 2	2.266(6) × 2	126
(bzipNH)Hg ₂ Cl ₅	2.40 × 2	2.764(2) × 2	—	—
[2+1+1(N)]	—	3.13	2.41(5)	127
[3+1]	—	—	—	—
(HBTN)HgCl ₃	2.28, 2.24, 2.69	3.04	—	—
[3+1(N)]	2.425(3)	—	2.23(1)	128
—	2.484(3)	—	99.0(1)	—
—	2.588(3)	—	106.4(1)	—
<i>Hg</i> <i>P</i> systems				
Compound	Hg-Cl (Å)	Hg....Cl (Å)	Hg....P (Å)	Reference
[(C ₄ H ₅ S) ₃ P] ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.539(2)	—	2.472(2)	129
[2+2(P)]	2.519(2)	—	2.513(2)	—

(Ph ₃ P) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.559(2)	—	2.478(2)	110.7(1)	130
[2+2(P)]	2.545(3)	—	2.462(2)		
(Et ₃ P) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.68(1) × 2	—	2.39(1) × 2	105.5(5)	131
[2+2(P)]					
[(Ph) ₂ P(CH ₂) ₂ N(Et) ₂]·HgCl ₂	2.443(3)	3.522(4)	2.417(3) Hg–P	109.0(1)	132
[2+1(P)+1(N)]	2.445(3)		2.641(11) Hg–N		
[R ₂ PC(S)N(H)Ph] ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.594(5)	—	2.452(6)	102.7(2)	133
[2+2(P)]	2.598(8)		2.457(7)		
[(N≡C–CH ₂ CH ₂) ₃ P] ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.608(10)	—	2.450(12)	95.0(3)	134
[2+2(P)+2(CN)?] (I)	2.622(10)	—	2.452(10)		
[2+2(P)+1(CN)?] (II)	2.669(11)	—	2.411(7)	98.1(3)	134
	2.603(10)	—	2.450(6)		
<i>Miscellaneous Hg·····X systems</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Hg·····X (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
Pt ₂ Cl ₂ (dppm) ₂ ·HgCl ₂ ^b	2.440(4)		2.7122(8)	106.0(1)	135
[2+2(Pt)]	2.417(4)		2.7153(7)		
	2.445(4)		2.6991(8)	103.7(1)	
	2.448(5)		2.7097(8)		
<i>Dimeric adducts^a</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Hg·····X (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
[(NH ₄) ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇]·HgCl ₂	2.325(2)	3.300(6)	2.677(5), 3.100(5)	(?)	91
[2+1+4(O)]	2.316(2)		2.669(5) × 2		
β-(Bu ₃ P) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.348(8)	2.720(6)	2.377(6)	97.3(3)	136
[1+2+1(P)]		2.736(6)			
(TPP)·HgCl ₂	2.404(11)	2.747(14)	2.438(10)	107.4(5)	137

TABLE 4 (continued)

Dimeric adducts ^a Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
[1 + 2 + 1(P)] (Ph ₃ P)·HgCl ₂ ^s	2.370(10)	2.542(12) 2.623(8)	2.406(7) 103.8(3)	137
[1 + 2 + 1(P)] [(C ₆ H ₁₁) ₃ P]·HgCl ₂ ^b	2.391(5)	2.658(8) 2.641(4)	2.416(3) 95.2(2)	138
[1 + 2 + 1(P)]	2.413(3)	2.665(4) 2.602(4)	2.412(3) 101.4(1)	
Pr ₃ P·HgCl ₂	2.348(5)	2.779(4) 2.638(4)	2.358(4) 96.5(2)	139
[1 + 2 + 1(P)] ^a		2.780(4)	90.6(2)	
(2,4,6-Me ₃ -py)·HgCl ₂	2.455	2.956	2.181	140
[2 + 2 + 1(N)]	2.542	2.948		
[(Ph ₃ C ₃ P) ₂ Hg ₂ Cl ₄]	2.342	2.651	2.389	141
(1 + 2 + 1P)		2.673		
(1 + 2 + 1P)	2.339	2.732	2.381	
		2.712		
(C ₁₃ H ₁₀ N ₄ S)·HgCl ₂	2.34(1)		2.40(1)	142
[2 + 3(S)]	2.57(1)		3.28(2) × 2	
(C ₆ H ₁₁ NS ₂)·HgCl ₂	2.37(1)	2.57(1)	2.42(1)	143
[1 + 2 + 1(S)]		2.78(1)		
(Ph ₃ PSe)·HgCl ₂	2.332(7)	2.596(7)	2.527(3)	144
[1 + 2 + 1(Se)]		2.781(7)		
[(CH ₃) ₃ Si(CH ₂)Se(CH ₃)]·HgCl ₂	2.354(5)	2.698(5)	2.528(2)	145
[1 + 2 + 1(Se)]		2.707(5)		
(Me-cytosine)·HgCl ₂	2.322(3)	2.745(3)	2.17(1) Hg–N	146
[1 + 2 + 1(N) + 1(O)]		2.719(2)	2.84(1) Hg–O	
(DTO)·[HgCl ₂] ₂ ^c	2.354(3)	2.763(3)	2.756(11) Hg–O	147
[1 + 3 + 1(S) + 1(O)]		2.795(3)	2.478(3) Hg–S	
		3.134(3)		

Dithiamacrocycle · [HgCl ₂] ₂	2.427(6)		2.522(6)		148
[2 + 2(S)]	2.460(6)		2.672(7)		
[2 + 1]	2.315(7)	2.900(6)		166.8(2)	
	2.313(7)				
[(mes)(CO) ₃ Mo] · [HgCl ₂] ₂	2.538(4)	2.645(4)	2.745(1)		149
[1 + 2 + 1(Mo)]		2.736(4)			
[2 + 2]	2.317(5)	3.038(5)		118.6	
	2.628(5)	3.051(4)			
pen.[HgCl ₂] ₂ · 2H ₂ O ^h	2.380(5)	2.687(5)	2.822(5)	142.1(1)	150
[2 + 1 + 1(S)]	2.348(5)				
[1 + 2 + 1(S)]	2.356(5)	2.780(5)	2.356(5)		
		3.091(4)			
Dithiapropane · HgCl ₂	2.326	2.659	2.438		122
[1 + 2 + 1(S)]		2.789			
[1 + 2 + 1(S)]	2.332	2.764	2.430		
		2.729			
[R ₂ PC(S)N(H)Ph] · HgCl ₂ · CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	2.352(8)	2.748(7)	2.400(7)		133
[1 + 2 + 1(P)]		2.715(7)			
	2.348(8)	2.719(7)	2.393(7)		
		2.690(7)			
[C ₁₈ H ₂₄ O ₅ Ru] · HgCl ₂	2.451(9)	2.943(9)	2.683(3)	103.7(3)	151
[2 + 1 + 1(Ru)]	2.528(9)				
[C ₁₈ H ₂₄ O ₂ S ₃ Ru] · HgCl ₂	2.430(5)	2.885(4)	2.704(1)	104.6(2)	151
[2 + 1 + 1(Ru)]	2.574(4)				
[C ₂₀ H ₂₈ O ₆ Ru] · HgCl ₂	2.466(6)	2.697(6)	2.696(2)	99.8(2)	151
[2 + 1 + 1(Ru)]	2.670(6)				
[C ₆ H ₅ NCH ₂ CH ₂ CO ₂ · HgCl ₂] ₂	2.358(4)		2.191(9)	114.6(1)	152
[3 + 2(O)]	2.578(4)		2.679(9)		
	2.743(3)				
[Ru ₂ (dan)(CO) ₄ (PPPr ⁺) ₂ Hg ₂ Cl ₄]	2.301(9)	2.652(5)	2.537(4)		153

TABLE 4 (continued)

<i>Dimeric adducts^a</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)		Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
[2 + 2 + 2(Ru)]	2.427(6)	2.685(5)	2.834(6)		
[IrCl(CO)(dpm)(dpmAuCl)·Hg ₂ Cl ₄]	2.330(9)	2.623(8)		142.9(3)	154
[2 + 2]	2.370(9)	2.779(9)			
[1 + 1 + 1(Ir)]	2.463(9)	2.985(8)	2.618(3)	82.9(3)	
(ClC ₆ H ₄ C ₅ H ₅ SO) ₂ ·HgCl ₂	2.284(10)		2.48(2) br.	164.0(3)	155
[2 + 3(O)]	2.295(10)		2.97(2) br. 2.70(2) term.		
<i>Trimeric and tetrameric adducts</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Hg·····X (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
α-(Bu ₃ ^t P)·HgCl ₂	2.289(21)	2.709(20)	2.363(21)	98.4(7)	137
[1 + 2 + 1(P)]		2.626(19)			
[Hg ₃ (dpmp) ₂ Cl ₄]Cl·HCO ₃	2.488(9)		2.454(9)	95.6(3)	156
[2 + 2P]	2.674(8)		2.442(9)		
[2 + 2P]	2.484(9)		2.450(9)	102.3(3)	
	2.626(9)		2.453(8)		
[2 + 2P]	2.667(9)		2.461(8)	90.2(3)	
	2.691(9)		2.449(9)		
[Hg ₄ {S(CH ₂) ₂ NMe ₂ } ₄ Cl ₄]	2.506(1)		2.487(1)	109.6(1)	157
[2 + 2S]	2.648(1)		2.504(1)		
<i>Polymeric adducts</i>					
Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Hg·····X (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
[{(CNCH ₂ CH ₂) ₃ P}·{HgCl ₂ }] _n	2.331(7)	2.780(7)	2.393(5)	99.0(3)	158
[1 + 2 + 1(P)]		2.714(7)			




$[(\text{Me}_3\text{P}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_n$ [1 + 2 + 1(P)]	2.355(4)	2.782(4)	2.365(3)	98.2(1)	136,159
$[(\text{Et}_3\text{P}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_n$ [2 + 2 + 1(P)]	2.42(1)	2.941(4) [3.489(4)] 3.04(1)	2.35(1)	98.9(3)	159,160
$[\text{L} \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_n^d$ [1 + 2 + 1(S)]	2.56(1)	3.21(1)			
$\{[(\text{Me})\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{H})(\text{Ph})(\text{Et})\}\text{S}\} \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_n$ [1 + 3 + 1(S) + Ph]	2.398(6)	2.779(5)	2.410(6)	94.5(2)	161
		2.628(6)			
	2.315(5)	2.677(5)	2.433(4)	94.2	88
		2.838(10)			
		2.995(10)			
$\{[\text{Guanosine}] \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$ [1 + 2 + 1(N)]	2.339(7)	2.761(7)	2.16(1)	105.0	162
		2.659(7)			
$\{[\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O}]_2 \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$ [2 + 2(O) + 2(N)]	2.309(4) × 2	—	2.953(11) Hg–N 2.673(9) Hg–O	180.0	163
$\{(\text{Nicotine}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$ [2 + 2(N)]	2.384(5)	—	2.454(13) Hg–N(py)	137.0(2)	164
	2.364(6)	—	2.397(14) Hg–N(pyro)		
$\{(9\text{Mchyp}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$ [2 + 2 + 1(N)]	2.353(3)	2.979(3)	2.299(7)	140.5(1)	165
	2.401(2)	2.925(3)			
$\{(\text{Me}_2\text{PPMe}_2) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$ [2 + 2(P)]	2.51	—	2.49	?	166
	2.55	—	2.19		
$[(\text{pen}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$ [0 + 2 + 2(S)]		2.850(5)	2.335(5)		150
		3.323(5)	2.357(4)		
$\{(\text{Me}_2\text{EtP})_3[\text{HgCl}_2]_2\}_n$ [1 + 2 + 2(P)]	2.69(1)	3.07(1)	2.40(1)		167
		3.25(1)	2.40(1)		
[3 + 1(P)]	2.45(1), 2.52(1)		2.40(1)	103.1(5)	
	2.62(1)				
$[(\text{Thiourea})_2(\text{HgCl}_2)_3]_n$ [1 + 2 + 1(S)]	2.39(2)	2.84(2)	2.40		168
		2.94(2)			
[2 + 2]	2.23(2) × 2	3.15(2)		180.0	
		3.13(2)			
$[(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{Se}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_n$	2.352(2)	2.634(2)	2.535(1)		169

TABLE 4 (continued)

Polymeric adducts Compound	Hg–Cl (Å)	Hg·····Cl (Å)	Cl–Hg–Cl (°)	Reference
[1 + 3 + 1(Se)]		2.842(2) 3.165(2)		
[(C ₅ H ₈ N ₂)·HgCl ₂] _n	2.326(7)	3.070(7)	2.61(3)	168.56
[2 + 3 + 1(N)]	2.348(7)	3.081(7) 3.328(7)		170
[{μ-S(CH ₃) ₃ NH(CH ₃) ₂ }·HgCl ₂] _n	—	2.513(6)	2.464(6)	99.0(2)
[0 + 2 + μ(S)]	—	2.634(5)		171
[(cis-PtCl ₂ (NH ₃) ₂)·3HgCl ₂] _n	2.301(5)	2.979(2)		178.8
[2 + 1] Hg(2) × 2	2.308(5)	3.25		83
[2 + 2] Hg(1)	2.308(5) × 2	3.004(4) × 2		180.0
[Hg(O ₄ N)Cl ₂ ·HgCl ₂] _n	2.291(4)	3.129(4)	2.664(10) 2.756(9) 2.777(9) 2.806(9) 2.145(10)(Hg–N)	102.37(13)
[1 + 1 + 4(O) + 1(N)]				57
[3 + 2]	2.391(4) 2.432(4) 2.504(4)	2.930(4) 2.989(4)		138.34(14) 115.78(15) 105.30(14)
{[Me ₃ NCH ₂ CO ₂ ·HgCl ₂] ₂ ·HgCl ₂] _n	2.348(5)		2.26(2)	157.9(2)
[2 + 2(O)]	2.346(5)		2.45(2)	
[2 + 3 + 1(O)]	2.276(5) 2.312(4)	3.083(5) 3.177(6) 3.199(6)	2.78(2)	174.0(2)
				152

$\{[\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{NCH}_2\text{CO}_2 \cdot \text{HgCl}_2]_2 \cdot \text{HgCl}_2\}_n$	2.311(7)		2.59(1)	156.2(2)	152
$[2+3(\text{O})]$	2.318(5)		2.60(1)		
			2.66(1)		
$[2+2+2(\text{O})]$	$2.295(5) \times 2$	$3.141(7) \times 2$	$2.74(1) \times 2$	180.00	


*There are potentially three isomers for a simple dimeric adduct, namely




Those listed here are of the centrosymmetric (A) type, but (C) types are also known for other HgX_2 adducts [172].

^bTwo independent molecules.

^cMean data.



^e $\text{DTO} = \text{CH}_2\text{—S—}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{OH}$



^fThis species is better represented as $(\text{thiourea})_2\text{HgCl}^+\text{Cl}^-$. ^g $(\text{Ph}_3\text{As}) \cdot \text{HgCl}_2$ is isostructural [137]. ^hBridging S atom.

TABLE 5

Summary of known $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ anions classified in terms of x , y and n

Increasing x			Increasing y			Increasing n		
$x=1$	$x=2$	$x=3$	$y=2$	$y=3$	$y=4$	$n=0$	$n=-1$	$n=-2$
HgCl_2	Hg_2Cl_5^-	Hg_3Cl_7^-	HgCl_2	HgCl_3^-	HgCl_4^{2-}	HgCl_2	HgCl_3^-	HgCl_4^{2-}
HgCl_3^-	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$	$y=5$	$y=6$	$y=7$		Hg_2Cl_5^-	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$
HgCl_4^{2-}	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$	HgCl_5^{3-}	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$		Hg_3Cl_7^-	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$
HgCl_5^{3-}	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$	Hg_2Cl_5^-	HgCl_6^{4-}	Hg_3Cl_7^-		$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$	
HgCl_6^{4-}		$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$					$\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$	
$x=4$	$x=5$	$x=6$	$y=8$	$y=9$	$y=10$	$n=-3$	$n=-4$	$n=-6$
$\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$	$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$	$\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$	HgCl_5^{3-}	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$
	$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$		$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$			$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$	$\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$
			$y=11$	$y=12$	$y=13$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$	HgCl_6^{4-}	
			$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$	$\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$	$\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$	$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$		
			$y=14$		$\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$			
			$\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$					


$[M^+][CdCl_3^-]$ ($M \equiv K, Rb, NH_4$) [173]. $[NH_4^+][HgCl_3^-] \cdot H_2O$ (orthorhombic, *Pnma*) has also been obtained from aqueous solution [177].

Similar problems arise with $[Na^+][HgCl_3^-]$. A structural investigation of the anhydrous salt has been reported [178], but only the dihydrate could be isolated by subsequent investigators [174,177,179,180], and the validity of the original structure and its isomorphism with $[NH_4^+][XCl_3^-]$ ($X \equiv Hg, Cd$) has been questioned [174]. Indeed, the cell dimensions (Table 6) of the alleged anhydrous salt and the dihydrate are effectively the same. Similar conclusions could also be made with respect to the hydrated and anhydrous forms of β - $[NH_4^+][HgCl_3^-]$.

The $HgCl_3^-$ anion commonly forms $[3+2]_n$ chains (Scheme 5) (Tables 2 and 7), but $[2+4]_n$ chains are also described. Isolated $HgCl_3^-$ anions are very rare (Table 2) and there is also one example of $HgCl_3^-$ as $[3+2]_n$ chains associated with $HgCl_4^{2-}$ anions to give the $Hg_2Cl_7^{3-}$ stoichiometry. The other major structural isomer adopted by $HgCl_3^-$ is $Hg_2Cl_6^{2-}$ (Table 8) as dimeric edge-shared bitetrahedra.

We cannot, at the moment, predict which anion arrangement will be adopted for a particular unipositive cation.

Some generalizations are: (i) relatively small, spherical cations allow pseudo-octahedral structural units, $[2+2+2]$ or $[2+4]$ associations; (ii) moderate sized cations without extensive hydrogen bonding networks allow $[3+2]_n$ chains, i.e. $[HgCl_3^-]_n$ chains (Scheme 5); (iii) moderate sized cations with extensive hydrogen bonding networks develop complex Hg–Cl structural patterns; (iv) large cations, especially those forming layers, result in the development of $Hg_2Cl_6^{2-}$ units (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6. $Hg_2Cl_6^{2-}$.

The above generalizations are made on the basis of an assessment of the space available for Hg–Cl bonding after cation sites are fixed. Chains appear to penetrate the cation lattice if space is available, but, if not, the $HgCl_3^-$ units are constrained to form $Hg_2Cl_6^{2-}$.

Additional difficulties often arise due to variation in the nature of the anion, and salts of differing stoichiometry can form. Thus $CsHgCl_3$ [3,17,181], Cs_2HgCl_4 [13,202,203] and Cs_3HgCl_5 [21] have been characterized, and the work of Daoud and co-workers [7–9,204] illustrates the complexity when protonated amines are used as cations [205].

TABLE 6


Cell dimensions of $[M^+][HgCl_3^-] \cdot xH_2O$ salts

M ⁺	x	Space group	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	Reference	
α-NH ₄ ⁺	0	P4/mmm ^a	4.20	4.20	7.90	174	
			4.19	4.19	7.94	175	
			4.198	4.198	7.935	176	
			4.20	4.20	7.94	181	
β-NH ₄ ⁺	0	Pnam ^b	9.20	14.90	4.30	173,174	
β(?)·NH ₄ ⁺	1 ^b	Pnma	8.727	4.344	17.73	177	
Na ⁺	0	Pnma	9.48	4.16	18.35	178	
Na ⁺	2	Pnma	9.327	4.037	18.71	180	
K ⁺	0	Not isomorphous with other [M ⁺][XCl ₃ ⁻] salts					17,174
Cs ⁺	0	Cubic	5.42	5.42	5.42	181	
			5.41	5.41	5.41	3, 17	
Rb ⁺	0	P4/mmm	4.21	4.21	8.01	174,181	
Tl ⁺	0	Not isomorphous with α-[NH ₄ ⁺][HgCl ₃ ⁻]					17

^aFrom the melt.^bFrom aqueous solution.


Reedijk and Groenveld [61] describe $[M^+][HgCl_3^-]$ ($M^+ \equiv Et_4N^+$) (and there are α - and β -forms of this [72]), $[M^+]_2HgCl_4$, $[M^+][Hg_3Cl_7]$, $[M^+]_2Hg_3Cl_8$ and $[M^+][Hg_5Cl_{11}]$ as stoichiometrically distinguishable species, and $[Et_4N][Hg_2Cl_5]$ is reported by Scaife [17]. Until recently (see Table 9), the only single-crystal X-ray data available in this series were for $\alpha\text{-[Et}_4N^+][HgCl_3^-]$ [52], but the anion in $[Et_4N^+]_2[HgCl_4^{2-}]$ is almost certainly tetrahedral [17,61] as this salt is isomorphous with the corresponding Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} salts [17].

Among the more complex $HgCl_3^-$ systems are chains of alternate four- and six-coordinate Hg atoms as in $[Me_3NH^+][HgCl_3^-]$ [9] (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. $Cl(a)\text{--}Hg\text{--}Cl(b) = 143.35^\circ$ [9].

Relatively inert $M(III)$ dichloro complex ions from sparingly soluble salts of the type $trans\text{-}[M(III)Cl_2(N_4)^+][HgCl_3^-]$ [207] with bridges (Scheme 8) [208] or isolated $Hg_2Cl_6^{2-}$ anions, e.g. in $trans\text{-}[CoCl_2(en)_2]_2[Hg_2Cl_6]$ [44].

The *cis*-isomers [209] can form complexes shown in Scheme 9. Simpler structures can be obtained for inert square planar $M(II)$ complexes [16,210,22]



Scheme 8.

TABLE 7


Salts of HgCl_3^-

Stoichiometry	Structural units	Reference
$[\text{Na}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[2+4]_n$ chains	177–179
$[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[2+4]_n$ chains	175,177
$[\text{Cs}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{HgCl}_2 \cdot \text{CsCl}$ or $[2+4]_n$ chains	3
$[\text{Me}_4\text{N}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains, two independent Hg	48,49,234
$[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Complex, two independent Hg	9
$[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Complex, three independent Hg	8
$[\text{MeNH}_3^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	7
$[\text{Me}_3\text{S}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	39
$[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	52
$[\text{Et}_3\text{NH}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	51
$[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	73
$[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	182
$[\text{PhCH}_2\text{NH}_3^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Complex, two independent Hg	183
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_4^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	49
$[\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{N}_5^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Complex, two independent Hg	184
$[\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{S}_4^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains plus $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	47,185
$[\text{Ph}_3\text{Te}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	186
$[\text{S}_4\text{N}_3^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains	53
A- $[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{S}_4^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	187,188
B- $[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{S}_4^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$, two independent dimers	187,188
[bis-L-trypt ⁺][HgCl_3^-]	$[3+2]_n$ chains	189
[Sulphone ⁺][HgCl_3^-]	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	190
<i>u-fac</i> - $[\text{Cr}(\text{dien})_2^{3+}][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^-]$	$[3+2]_n$ chains plus HgCl_4^{2-}	18
$[\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHgCl}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Isolated HgCl_3^- anions	29
$[\text{ClNC}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{HgCl}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Distorted $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ anions	29
<i>trans</i> - $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{en})_2^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	44
$[\text{H}_2\text{en}^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_3^-]_2$	$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$	44
$[\text{HgCl}(\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{15}\text{NS}_3)^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Isolated HgCl_3^- anions	55
$[\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{17}\text{NOTeCl}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	Isolated HgCl_3^- anions	56
$\{[\text{Hg}(\text{L})\text{Cl}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]\}_n$	Complex polymeric	57


(Scheme 10). There is obviously considerable scope for further investigation in these areas [135,153,154].

(b) Tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} anions

While the majority of salts with stoichiometry $[\text{M}^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ or $[\text{M}^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ do contain discrete HgCl_4^{2-} ions, this is not always the case, as




Scheme 9. Hg–Cl(2) = 2.33(3) Å; Hg–Cl(3) = 2.35(2) Å; Hg–Cl(1') = 2.98(2) Å; Hg–Cl(4) = 3.01(3) Å; Hg–Cl(1) = 3.05(2) Å; Hg–Cl(5) = 3.08(2) Å.



Scheme 10.


illustrated by $\text{K}_2\text{HgCl}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [3–5] (Scheme 1) or $[\text{EtNH}_3^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ [212,213]. The latter exists in a two-dimensional polymeric form of vertex-shared chains of octahedral HgCl_6 units (Scheme 11).



Scheme 11. $(\text{HgCl}_4^{2-})_n$ in $[\text{EtNH}_3^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ [212].

There are also examples of HgCl_4^{2-} anions appearing in HgCl_5^{3-} salts [20] (as HgCl_4^{2-} plus Cl^- combinations) and in more complicated stoichiometric relationships [18]. Nevertheless, salts of large spherical divalent cations with $[\text{M}^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ stoichiometry [23] are predicted to contain the more or less tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} anion (Table 1).

Recently the salt of stoichiometry $[\text{enH}_2]_2\text{HgCl}_6$ has been investigated crystallographically [44]. The structure shows the salt contains two enH_2^{2+} cations, two isolated Cl^- anions and polymeric chains of $[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_n$ units where each Hg is effectively five-coordinate (Scheme 12)



Scheme 12. Hg–Cl = 3.28 Å.

and the distorted HgCl_4^{2-} units are corner shared. Thus for HgCl_4^{2-} we can expect a whole range of interactions from isolated regular tetrahedra, through weakly

TABLE 8

Structural data for $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ anions (Scheme 6)^a

Complex	Hg(2)–Cl(t) (Å)	Hg(2)–Cl(b1) (Å)	Hg(2)–Cl(b2) (Å)	Cl(t1)–Hg(2)–Cl(t2) (°)	Cl(b1)–Hg(2)–Cl(b2) (°)	Reference
[TMTTF][HgCl ₃] ^b	2.34, 2.45	2.70	2.55	119	85	187,233
<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>b</i>	2.36 (× 2)	2.76	2.67	140	90	
[TMTTF][HgCl ₃] <i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	2.387, 2.409	2.638	2.597	123.6	88.7	187
[Cr(dien) ₂][Hg ₂ Cl ₇] ^{c,h}	2.344, 2.361	2.740	2.880	165.2	95.2	18
	2.383, 2.350	2.724	2.759	151.2	84.1	18
[NBu ₄][HgCl ₃] ^d	2.390, 2.387	2.670	2.560	121.9	88.1	73
	2.370, 2.381	2.587	2.662	121.5	87.7	
[TFF][HgCl ₃]	2.381, 2.368	2.600	2.696	132.2	90.1	47
[Ph ₃ Te][HgCl ₃] ^e	2.350 (× 2)	2.684	2.717	141.34	89.63	186
<i>trans</i> -[CoCl ₂ (en) ₂][HgCl ₃] ^f	2.368, 2.403	2.572	2.749	130.9	85.9	44
[enH ₂ ²⁺][HgCl ₃ [−]] ₂ ⁱ	2.349, 2.353	2.751	2.811	165.1	89.6	44
[Ph ₄ As][HgCl ₃]	2.365, 2.370	2.589	2.614	126.9	88.3	182
[RHgCl][HgCl ₃] ^g	2.32 (× 2)	2.86	2.85	158.8	94.8	29
	2.30, 2.33	2.80	3.02	167.3	89.7	
MgHg ₃ Cl ₈ ·6H ₂ O	2.351, 2.378	2.710	2.835	153.62	87.43	192
[Hg(dapmp)Cl] ₂ [Hg ₂ Cl ₆]	2.370(3), 2.360(4)	2.584(4)	2.584(4)	131.7(1)	—	193
[Ru(bpy) ₃][Hg ₂ Cl ₆]						194

^aSee refs. 195–201 for other $\text{Hg}_2\text{X}_6^{2-}$ ($\text{X} \equiv \text{Br}, \text{I}$) structures.^bTwo independent $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ anions.^cHg····Hg = 4.070 Å.^dHg····Hg = 3.766 Å. The anion is not centrosymmetric.^eHg····Hg = 3.831 Å.^fHg····Hg = 3.897 Å.^gThis anion may be distorted by close association of a chloro ligand from the cation [29].^hFrom the central Hg_2Cl_6 core of the $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$ anion [18].ⁱHg····Hg = 3.946 Å.

TABLE 9

Salts of tetraalkylammonium chloride and mercury(II) chloride

Salt	Crystal lattice	Cell parameters (Å)	Reference
[Me ₄ N] ₂ [HgCl ₄] ^a	Orthorhombic, <i>Pnma</i>	$a = 12.30, b = 9.02, c = 15.59$	17
	Orthorhombic, <i>Pmcn</i>	$a = 9.098(3), b = 15.685(7), c = 12.416(5)$ (23°C, disordered)	27
	Monoclinic, <i>P2₁/c</i>	$a = 9.054(2), b = 15.554(2), c = 12.366(3), \beta = 90.15(2)^\circ$ (–10°C)	27
		$a = 9.019(2), b = 15.398(3), c = 12.311(3), \beta = 90.58(2)^\circ$ (–70°C)	27
[Me ₄ N][HgCl ₃]	Monoclinic, <i>P2₁</i>	$a = 8.848, b = 15.650, c = 7.559, \beta = 93.58^\circ$	48,167,234
[Me ₄ N][Hg ₆ Cl ₁₃]	Rhombohedral, <i>R</i> $\bar{3}$	$a = b = 12.90, c = 28.33$	60,63
[Et ₄ N] ₂ [HgCl ₄] ^b	Tetragonal, <i>P4₂/nmc</i>	$a = b = 8.93, c = 15.49$	17
[Et ₄ N][HgCl ₃]	Triclinic, <i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	$a = 7.644, b = 9.749, c = 10.325, \alpha = 62.78^\circ, \beta = 86.91^\circ, \gamma = 86.07^\circ$	52,61,62,174
[Et ₄ N] ₂ [Hg ₃ Cl ₈]	—	—	61,62
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₂ Cl ₅]	Triclinic, <i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	$a = 8.259, b = 10.36, c = 10.913, \alpha = 105.679^\circ, \beta = 96.672^\circ, \gamma = 108.685^\circ$	17,206
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₃ Cl ₇]	Monoclinic, <i>C2/c</i>	$a = 19.966, b = 7.916, c = 25.692, \beta = 91.40^\circ$	206
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₆ Cl ₁₃] ^c	Rhombohedral, <i>R</i> $\bar{3}$	$a = b = 13.59, c = 28.50$	60,63
[Bu ₄ N][HgCl ₃]	Triclinic, <i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	$a = 11.011, b = 11.267, c = 19.341, \alpha = 87.33, \beta = 102.70, \gamma = 96.45$	73

^aIsomorphous with the corresponding Co(II) and Zn(II) salts [56], and with [Me₄N]₂HgBr₄ [26].^bIsomorphous with the corresponding Co(II), Ni(II), Zn(II) and Cd(II) salts [56].^cThis compound was formulated as [Et₄N⁺][Hg₅Cl₁₁[–]] [61].

associated dimers (see Section D.(ii) (b) for a discussion of $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$) to corner-shared polymeric chains and finally edge-shared polymeric chains.

(c) *Trigonal bipyramidal HgCl_5^{3-} anions*

This type of anion (pentachloromercuriate ($3-$)) is rare, and until recently [17] was associated with small spherical $\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}$ cations ($\text{M} \equiv \text{Co}, \text{Cr}$) (Table 10). It has now been found that the $[\text{H}_3\text{dien}^{3+}]_2[\text{HgCl}_8^{6-}]$ salt also contains an HgCl_5^{3-} anion and three isolated chloride ions [217].

Two sets of HgCl_5^{3-} anions can be distinguished, one with three short and two long Hg–Cl bonds, the other with two short and three long bonds (Table 10). We note that the series of salts $\text{Cs}_3[\text{HgX}_5]$ ($\text{X} \equiv \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$) contains the distorted tetrahedral HgX_4^{2-} plus X^- combination [21,218–220].

While the rarity of isolated HgCl_5^{3-} ions has been commented on, five-coordinate Hg–Cl bridged systems are not unusual (Schemes 5, 12 and 15 and in $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [44]).

(d) *Octahedral HgCl_6^{4-} anions*

This anion (together with HgCl_4^{2-}) appears in $[\text{Ti}^+]_{10}[\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{16}^{10-}]$, i.e. $[\text{Ti}^+]_{10}[\text{HgCl}_6^{4-}]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$. The anion consists of a distorted $[2+4]$ octahedral arrangement with Hg–Cl distances of 2.360 ($\times 2$) and 3.098 Å ($\times 4$) [221].

TABLE 10

Trigonal bipyramidal HgCl_5^{3-} units


Cation	Space group	Hg–Cl (Å) (short)	Hg–Cl (Å) (long)	Reference
$\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}$	Rhombohedral, $R\bar{3}c$	2.417 ($\times 3$)	3.035 ($\times 2$)	214
$\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}$	Cubic, $Fd\bar{3}c$	2.518 ($\times 2$)	2.640 ($\times 3$)	215
$\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}$	Orthorhombic, $Pnma$	2.383	3.158	216
		2.447 ($\times 2$)	2.869	
$\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}$	Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$	2.418	3.038	214
		2.431 ($\times 2$)	2.817	
$\text{H}_3\text{dien}^{3+}$	Monoclinic, $P2/n$	2.327 ($\times 2$)	2.962 ($\times 2$)	217
			3.029	
$[\text{CrCl}(\text{dien})(\text{Hdien})][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7]$		2.335	3.109	18
		2.346	3.139	
			2.673	
<i>s-fac</i> - $[\text{Cr}(\text{dien})_2][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7]$		2.344	2.881	18
		2.361	3.118	
			2.742	

(ii) Dimercury(II) chloride ions, Hg_2Cl_5^- , $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$, $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ and $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$

We will discuss the Hg_2Cl_5^- anion as the second member of the homologous series of $[\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_{2x+1}^-]$ anions (see Section E) and the $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ anion has been discussed in the previous section as the dimeric association of two HgCl_3^- units.

(a) The $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ anion


Salts with this anionic stoichiometry have only recently been characterized [18,19,216]. The anion is usually associated with tripositive $\text{M(III)(N}_6\text{)}^{3+}$ cations (Table 11), although Deacon [33] reports a $[\text{C}^+]_3[\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}]$ salt in his tabulation. Of the four examples examined structurally, only one contains the more or less isolated $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ anion in the lattice (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13. $[\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}]$.

$\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(1)} = 2.38 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(2)} = 2.97 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(3)} = 2.41 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(4)} = 2.53 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(5)} = 2.94 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(6)} = 2.34 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(7)} = 2.35 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(4)} = 2.83 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(5)} = 2.60 \text{ \AA}$. Angles: $\text{Cl(7)}\text{---Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(6)} = 141.8^\circ$; $\text{Cl(1)}\text{---Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(3)} = 134.7^\circ$; $\text{Cl(4)}\text{---Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(5)} = 82.4^\circ$; $\text{Cl(4)}\text{---Hg(2)}\text{---Cl(5)} = 83.4^\circ$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(4)}\text{---Hg(2)} = 99.3^\circ$; $\text{Hg(1)}\text{---Cl(5)}\text{---Hg(2)} = 94.9^\circ$.


This example can be regarded as either an $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ unit with an extra chloro ligand on one Hg, or a trigonal bipyramidal HgCl_5^{3-} unit (Hg(1)) associated with an HgCl_2 molecule (Hg(2)) (Scheme 13). If the latter concept is adopted, the HgCl_5^{3-} unit is of the three short, two long Hg–Cl bond variety (Table 10). If the former view is taken, the “normal” Cl–Hg–Cl terminal angle of approximately 142° (Table 8) is observed at one end of the $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ unit, but the other “terminal” angle is distorted to 135° by the approach ($2.97 \text{ \AA}$) of the additional chloro ligand (Cl(2)) (Scheme 13). The recently described $\text{Hg}_2\text{I}_7^{3-}$ is different again, with a single bridging iodine atom [32].

Two of the $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ salts have chain structures. One consists of $(\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-})_n$ strands (Scheme 14) with an $\text{---Hg---Cl---Hg---Cl---Hg---}$ core and each alternate Hg atom with two or three additional chloro ligands.

Scheme 14. $(\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-})_n$.


This system may also be regarded as tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} units bridging to trigonal HgCl_3^- units. Cations in the lattice separate the chains at an $\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl}$ distance of $3.21 \text{ \AA}$.

The second structure consists of a more open HgCl_3^- chain than is shown in Scheme 5 (with only one $\text{Hg}-\text{Cl}-\text{Hg}$ bridge) together with associated $(\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl} = 3.3\text{--}3.4 \text{ \AA})$ HgCl_4^{2-} anions (Scheme 15).



Scheme 15. $\{(\text{HgCl}_3^-)_n(\text{HgCl}_4^{2-})_n\} \text{ } ^{3-}$ “[$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$]”.

Finally, we have the most remarkable structure in which the HgCl_4^{2-} anions persist, but the $(\text{HgCl}_3^-)_n$ chain is now broken into $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ and $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$ anionic fragments (Scheme 16).




Scheme 16. $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$.

This salt has a total ionic composition of $[\text{cation}^{3+}]_4[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_2[\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}][\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}]$ which reduces to “[cation^{3+}][$\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$]”.

We speculate on a core plus anion model resulting from a consideration of $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ and $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$ in the Appendix.

(b) The $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ anion


Trivalent cations frequently form sparingly soluble salts of stoichiometry $[\text{C}^{3+}]_2[\text{HgX}_4]_3$ [222]. The structure of one of these, $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]_2[\text{HgCl}_4]_3$ has been determined [19]. The lattice consists of two enantiomerically related cations and three distorted tetrahedral HgCl_4^{2-} anions. Two of these anions are in close association (Scheme 17)



Scheme 17. $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ (?).

in so far as the two tetrahedra have an eclipsed chloro ligand arrangement. The Hg····Hg distance of 3.60 Å between the units suggests that this may be a fortuitous packing consequence and it is doubtful that there is much justification for proposing an $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ anion from this. However, the three HgCl_4^{2-} anions have formal stoichiometry of $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$, and as this is shown to be a unique structural unit (Scheme 3), it cannot be assumed that all $[\text{M}^{3+}]_2[\text{Hg}_3\text{X}_{12}]$ salts will contain HgX_4^{2-} tetrahedra.

As mentioned earlier, the anion in $[\text{enH}_2]_2\text{HgCl}_6$ consists of polymeric chains of $[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_n$ and (two) isolated chloride ions (Scheme 12). Alternate lengthening and shortening of the bridging $\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl} = 3.28$ Å distance would result in a (polymeric) $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ anion and this has now been realized [44] in $[\text{trienH}_4^{4+}][\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]_2$, where $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ can be recognized. The longest bridging distance in the dimer is decreased to 3.05 Å and cross-linking distances increased to 3.33 Å (along the chain), with $\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl} = 3.20$ Å (between chains) (Scheme 18).




Scheme 18.

(iii) *Trimercury(II) chloride ions*, Hg_3Cl_7^- , $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$, $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$ and $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{16}^{10-}$

A discussion of the singly charged anion will be deferred to the $[\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_{2x+1}^-]$ series.



(a) *The $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$ anion*

This anion is perhaps more fully characterized for the iodo analogue [220,223] (vertex-sharing HgI_4 tetrahedra) (Scheme 19) than for the chloro [224]. The struc-



Scheme 19. $\text{Hg}_3\text{I}_8^{2-}$ [220].

tures of $[\text{CoCl}(\text{NH}_3)_5^{2+}][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}]$ [225] and $[\text{Co}(\text{HPO}_4)(\text{NH}_3)_5^+][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}]$ have been determined and these are formulated as $\{[\text{HgCl}_2]_2\text{HgCl}_4\}^{2-}$ salts [38] although both structures are described as “polymeric” (Schemes 20, 21). The chloro ligand in the chloropentaammine complex is also linked to an Hg atom.


Scheme 20. $\{[\text{CoCl}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4]^{2-}][\text{HgCl}_2]_2\}_n$; $\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl} > 3.1 \text{ \AA}$.Scheme 21. $\{[\text{Co}(\text{HPO}_4)(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{2+}][\text{HgCl}_4]^{2-}][\text{HgCl}_2]_2\}_n$; $\text{Hg}\cdots\text{Cl} > 3.0 \text{ \AA}$.

Another $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$ ion, associated with an ion-radical cation, is described as $\{[\text{HgCl}_2][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6]\}^{2-}$ with “chains of mercury chloromercurate anions lying in channels parallel to the *a* axis between the cation-radical layers” [191,224].

The only other salts where the $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}$ stoichiometry appears with confidence are $[\text{M}^{2+}][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_8^{2-}] \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\text{M}^{2+} \equiv \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Sr}^{2+}, \text{Ba}^{2+}$) [226]. The Mg^{2+} salt is shown to have the ionic composition $[\text{Mg}(\text{OH}_2)_6]^{2+}][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6]^{2-}][\text{HgCl}_2]$ with isolated HgCl_2 molecules in the lattice [192].

(b) Other $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ anions

Three new $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ anions have recently [22,44] been characterized in $[\text{H}_3\text{dpt}^{3+}]_2[\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}]$ ($\text{H}_3\text{dpt}^{3+} \equiv \text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_3^{3+}$), $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9]^{3-} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $[\text{C}_1^{2+}]_{1/2}[\text{C}_2^{2+}][\text{C}_3^{2+}]_{1/2}[\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}]$ (Scheme 22).




Scheme 22.

These are related anions containing the $\text{Cl}_3\text{Hg}-\text{Cl}-\text{Hg}-\text{Cl}-\text{HgCl}_3^{2-}$ structural unit. The $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$ and $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$ anions have two extra chloro ligands attached to the central Hg atom (Scheme 23)

with the $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9^{3-}$ being part of the $\cdots[\cdots\text{HgCl}_3\cdots\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}\cdots\text{HgCl}_2\cdots]\cdots\text{HgCl}_3\cdots$ chain. In $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}^{6-}$ there are four additional chloro ligands around the central Hg (Scheme 3). All three anions can be regarded as having the central Hg of an HgCl_2

TABLE 11
[Hg_xCl_yⁿ⁻] compounds

Empirical formula	Cation type	Anionic units	Reference
<i>cis</i> -PtCl ₂ (NH ₃) ₂ ·(HgCl ₂) ₃	Zero	Polymeric	83
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₂ Cl ₅]	1 +	[Cl.(HgCl ₂) ₂] ⁻ chains	206
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₃ Cl ₇]		Three-dimensional polymer	206
[Et ₄ N][Hg ₆ Cl ₁₃]		[Cl.(HgCl ₂) ₆] ⁻ ions	63,206
[M][Hg ₅ Cl ₁₁] (M ≡ NH ₄ ⁺ , Rb ⁺ , Cs ⁺)		[Cl.(HgCl ₂) ₄] ⁻ anions and HgCl ₂	63
[Me ₃ S] ₂ [Hg ₁₃ Cl ₂₆ I ₂]		Hg ₆ Cl ₁₃ ⁻ and Hg ₆ Cl ₁₁ I ₂ ⁻ ions	208
[<i>trans</i> -CoCl ₂ (en) ₂][HgCl ₃]		Discrete Hg ₂ Cl ₆ ²⁻ ions	44
[H ₂ en][HgCl ₃] ₂	2 +	Discrete Hg ₂ Cl ₆ ²⁻ ions	44
[H ₂ en] ₂ [HgCl ₆]		Linear HgCl ₄ ²⁻ chains and Cl ⁻ ions	44
[C ₁] _{1/2} [C ₂][C ₃] _{1/2} [Hg ₃ Cl ₁₀] (Scheme 22)		Discrete Hg ₃ Cl ₁₀ ⁴⁻ ions	22
[C ₈ H ₂₀ N ₂][HgCl ₄]		Discrete HgCl ₄ ²⁻ ions	22
[C ₉ H ₂₂ N ₂][HgCl ₄]		Discrete HgCl ₄ ²⁻ ions	22
<i>rac-mer</i> -[Cr(dien) ₂][Hg ₂ Cl ₇]	3 +	Unknown	18
<i>s-fac</i> -[Cr(dien) ₂][Hg ₂ Cl ₇]		Discrete 2HgCl ₄ ²⁻ , Hg ₂ Cl ₆ ²⁻ and Hg ₄ Cl ₁₄ ⁶⁻ ions	18
<i>rac-u-fac</i> -[Cr(dien) ₂][Hg ₂ Cl ₇]		Linear (HgCl ₃) _n chains and HgCl ₄ ²⁻ ions	18
<i>rac-mer</i> -[Cr(dien) ₂][HgCl ₅].2DMSO		Discrete HgCl ₄ ²⁻ and Cl ⁻ ions	18
<i>s-fac</i> -[CrCl(dien)(Hdien, <i>N,N</i>)]Hg ₂ Cl ₇		Linear (Hg ₂ Cl ₇ ³⁻) _n chains	18
<i>rac-cis</i> -[Co(en) ₂ (py)(NH ₃)]Hg ₂ Cl ₇		Discrete Hg ₂ Cl ₇ ³⁻ ions	19
[H ₃ dpt] ₂ [Hg ₃ Cl ₁₂]		Discrete Hg ₃ Cl ₁₂ ⁶⁻ ions	44
<i>rac-cis</i> -[CrCl(en) ₂ (Hen, <i>N</i>)]HgCl ₅		Discrete HgCl ₄ ²⁻ and Cl ⁻ ions	18
<i>rac</i> -[Cr(en) ₃] ₂ [HgCl ₄] ₃		HgCl ₄ ²⁻ ions and Hg ₂ Cl ₈ ⁴⁻ (?)	18
<i>rac</i> -[Co(en) ₃]HgCl ₄ I		Discrete HgCl ₃ I ²⁻ and Cl ⁻ ions	19
[Co(NH ₃) ₆][Hg ₃ Cl ₉].H ₂ O		Linear Hg ₃ C ₉ ³⁻ chains	44
[H ₄ trien][HgCl ₄] ₂	4 +	Linear Hg ₂ Cl ₈ ⁴⁻ chains	44

Scheme 23. $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$.

molecule coordinated to two HgCl_4^{2-} units and two or four chloro ligands. There is a striking similarity between Schemes 23, 19 and 3.

We also note that in the “octahedral” Hg situation (Scheme 3), the HgCl_4^{2-} “ligands” are in the cis configuration.

$[\text{H}_3\text{dien}^{3+}]_2[\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{6-}]$ ($\text{H}_3\text{dien}^{3+} \equiv \text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3^{3+}$) has also been reported [217] but its structure has not been determined. From the same system $[\text{H}_3\text{dien}^{3+}][\text{HgCl}_8^{3-}]$ can be isolated. Here the anion consists of an HgCl_5^{3-} unit (Table 10) and three isolated chloride ions [217].

E. THE $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_{2x+1}^-$ ANIONS ($x=2-6$)

A perusal of the early literature [58–60] pertaining to the double salts of mercuric and alkali chlorides shows that a series of $[\text{M}^+][\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_{2x+1}^-]$ salts can be prepared by crystallization of the appropriate mole ratios of MCl and HgCl_2 from aqueous solution.

These include $\text{Ti}^+[\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ [220], $\text{Rb}^+[\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ [64], $\text{Cs}^+[\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ [64,66,67], $\text{NH}_4^+[\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ [63] (rather than the alleged $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{Hg}_9\text{Cl}_{20}^{2-}]$ [67–69]), $\text{Cs}^+[\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_5^-]$ [19] and $\text{NH}_4^+[\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_5^-]$ [223]. A more extensive series (Table 9) was reported by Reedijk [61,62] using the appropriate mole ratios of Et_4NCl and HgCl_2 with acetonitrile as solvent. Single-crystal X-ray structural analysis of many of these salts has now been completed.

In terms of stoichiometry, $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+][\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ reported by Reedijk [61,62] is, in fact, $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+][\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-]$, but $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$ is a discrete anion for the K^+ , NH_4^+ , Rb^+ , Cs^+ and Ti^+ salts which form an isomorphous series (Table 12) [65].

Structurally, the anions in the series of $\text{MCl} \cdot x\text{HgCl}_2$ ($x=2-6$, $\text{M} \equiv \text{Et}_4\text{N}^+$) salts can be regarded as a chloride ion associated with varying molecules of HgCl_2 . The analogy with a central metal ion surrounded by solvent (water) molecules is quite appealing as the chloride ion “coordination numbers” of two, four and six HgCl_2 molecules are well developed. Thus, in $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}_+][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_5^-]$, the anion consists of a central chloride ion bound to two HgCl_2 molecules (Scheme 24) in a branched chain lattice [206].


Further chains [7,183] or polymers [8] of these units can occur and the association of the chloride ions distorts the HgCl_2 from linearity (to approximately 170°) (Schemes 24–26).

The ring structure in Scheme 26 produces a planar four-coordinate chloride


TABLE 12

 $[M^+][Hg_xCl_{2x+1}^-]$ salts ($x=2-6$)


Salt	Anion structure	Cl ⁻ ·····HgCl ₂ distance (Å)	Reference
$[C_5H_{12}ClN_2^+][Hg_2Cl_5^-]$	Polymeric	2.93, 2.62	227
$[Et_4N^+][Hg_2Cl_5^-]$	Polymeric chain	2.44, 2.91	61,216
$[CH_3NH_3^+][Hg_2Cl_5^-]$	Polymeric chain	2.92, 3.08	7
$[(CH_3)_2NH_2^+][Hg_2Cl_5^-]$	Polymeric chain	3.00	8
$[PhCH_2NH_3^+][Hg_2Cl_5^-]$	Polymeric	2.80, 2.90, 3.12, 2.76	183
$[Et_4N^+][Hg_3Cl_7^-]$	Polymeric		61,216
$[M^+][Hg_5Cl_{11}^-]$ $M^+ \equiv Rb^+, Cs^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Tl^+$	$[Hg_4Cl_9 \cdot HgCl_2]_n$	3.067	63,65
$[C^+][Hg_6Cl_{13}^-]$ $C^+ \equiv Me_4N^+, Et_4N^+$	$[Hg_6Cl_{13}]_n$	2.98	31,63,228

Scheme 24. $[Hg_2Cl_5]_n^-$.

Cl(2)–Hg(2)–Cl(4) = 170.8°; Cl(2)–Hg(2)–Cl(5) = 94.8°; Cl(2)–Hg(2)–Cl(4a) = 99.0°; Cl(4)–Hg(2)–Cl(5) = 94.4°; Cl(4)–Hg(2)–Cl(4a) = 83.9°; Cl(5)–Hg(2)–Cl(4a) = 76.8°; Hg(2)–Cl(2) = 2.33 Å; Hg(2)–Cl(4) = 2.32 Å; Hg(2)–Cl(4a) = 3.13 Å; Hg(2)–Cl(5) = 2.91 Å.
 Cl(1)–Hg(1)–Cl(3) = 127.8°; Cl(1)–Hg(1)–Cl(5) = 118.7°; Cl(1)–Hg(1)–Cl(1a) = 85.5°; Cl(3)–Hg(1)–Cl(5) = 111.1°; Cl(3)–Hg(1)–Cl(1a) = 102.4°; Cl(5)–Hg(1)–Cl(1a) = 98.5°; Hg(1)–Cl(5) = 2.44 Å; Hg(1)–Cl(1) = 2.45 Å; Hg(1)–Cl(3) = 2.41 Å; Hg(1)–Cl(1a) = 2.81 Å.


Scheme 25. $[Hg_2Cl_5]_n^-$ [227].

Cl(5)–Hg(1)–Cl(3') = 167.4°; Cl(1)–Hg(1)–Cl(2) = 168.3°; Cl(2')–Hg(1)–Cl(5') = 168.5°; Hg(1)–Cl(5) = 2.926(3) Å; Hg(1)–Cl(1) = 2.312(3) Å; Hg(1)–Cl(2) = 2.331(3) Å; Hg(1)–Cl(2') = 3.278(3) Å; Hg(1)–Cl(3') = 3.296(3) Å; Hg(1)–Cl(5') = 2.983(3) Å.
 Cl(3)–Hg(2)–Cl(4) = 154.2°; Hg(2)–Cl(3) = 2.338(3) Å; Hg(2)–Cl(4) = 2.371(3) Å; Hg(2)–Cl(5) = 2.619(2) Å; Hg(2)–Cl(2') = 3.055(3) Å; Hg(2)–Cl(4') = 3.103(3) Å.

Scheme 26. $(Hg_2Cl_5)_n^-$ [7,183].

ion, which manifests itself again in the $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$ structure (Scheme 28). The polymeric form found in $[\text{PhCH}_2\text{NH}_3^+][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_5^-]$ [187] develops a ring system that is also found in the Hg_3Cl_7^- anionic lattice (Scheme 27).


While some sort of “three-coordinate” central Cl^- may be expected in $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+][\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_7^-]$, this is not found, and a complicated three-dimensional lattice is developed (Scheme 27) with three different Hg atoms.



Scheme 27. [206].

$\text{Hg}(1)-\text{Cl}(4)=2.444(4) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(1)-\text{Cl}(5)=2.438(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(1)-\text{Cl}(6)=2.434(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(1)-\text{Cl}(4A)=2.770(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(1)=2.341(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(7A)=2.322(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(3)=3.027(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(6)=2.869(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(2A)=3.016(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(2B)=3.149(4) \text{ \AA}$.
 $\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(1)=3.120(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(2)=2.339(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(3)=2.319(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(5)=2.864(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(7)=2.943(5) \text{ \AA}$; $\text{Cl}(1)-\text{Hg}(3)-\text{Cl}(7A)=173.0(2)^\circ$; $\text{Cl}(2)-\text{Hg}(2)-\text{Cl}(3)=167.1(2)^\circ$;
 $\text{Hg}(1)-\text{Cl}(3)=3.28 \text{ \AA}$; —, $2.3-2.5 \text{ \AA}$; - - -, $2.5-3.0 \text{ \AA}$; , $3.0-3.3 \text{ \AA}$.


A series of isomorphous $[\text{M}^+][\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-]$ salts ($\text{M}^+ \equiv \text{Ti}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{Cs}^+, \text{Rb}^+, \text{NH}_4^+$) can be prepared from aqueous solution [58,59,64,67–69]. The $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$ anion [65] consists of a Cl^- ion surrounded by four HgCl_2 molecules in a square planar arrangement, with the cations occupying positions above and below the Cl^- ion in the square plane. These Hg_4Cl_9^- units (Scheme 28) are held together in a polymeric lattice by an additional HgCl_2 molecule giving $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$ as the overall stoichiometry.



Scheme 28. The “ Hg_4Cl_9^- ” unit in $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{11}^-$.


The final structure in this series occurs in the $[\text{C}^+][\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-]$ ($\text{C}^+ \equiv \text{Me}_4\text{N}^+, \text{Et}_4\text{N}^+$) salts. These crystallize readily from acetonitrile solutions of $[\text{C}^+]\text{Cl}^-$ and HgCl_2 in a 1:6 mole ratio [61–63]. The related $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}^+][\text{Hg}_6\text{Br}_{13}^-]$ is isomorphous with the analogous chloride [63]. In these anions, the central halide is surrounded by six HgX_2 molecules in an octahedral arrangement to give a chloride-linked Hg(II) cluster (Scheme 29) with an extensive interlocking network of Hg–Cl and Cl–Hg interactions.

The $\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^-$ anion has also been characterized, together with $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$ in $\text{Ca}_2\text{Hg}_{11}\text{Cl}_{26} \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [31,228]. This calcium salt, which crystallizes from aqueous

Scheme 29. $\text{Hg}_6\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$.

solution, has had an interesting history as it was first characterized by von Bonsdorff [46] as $\text{CaHg}_5\text{Cl}_{12} \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [$\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 5\text{HgCl}_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$], “corrected” to $\text{CaHg}_6\text{Cl}_{14} \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [$\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{HgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$] by Bassett et al. [226] and Strömholm [60], and is now shown to be $\text{Ca}_2\text{Hg}_{11}\text{Cl}_{26} \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [$2\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 11\text{HgCl}_2 \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$] [31]. The bromide and iodide analogues of Ca^{2+} and Sr^{2+} are similar [228].

The $\text{Hg}_5\text{Cl}_{13}^{3-}$ anion can be regarded as $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ (Scheme 30) plus three HgCl_2 in an icosahedral cluster [228].

Scheme 30. $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ [31,228].

F. Hg(II)–Cl STEREOCHEMISTRY

Much of the early work [2,229] has concentrated on the digonal influence of Hg(II) stereochemistry. While this coordination number is certainly important, we should draw attention to the other stereochemistries. Indeed, coordination numbers of two, three, four, five and six all reasonably common in $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{4-}$ systems and it would be unwise to be dominated by the digonal influence. Particular examples of the higher coordination numbers three, four, five and six as found in $[\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHgCl}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$ [29], $[\text{Cs}^+]_2[\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}]$ [13], $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6^{3+}][\text{HgCl}_5^{3-}]$ (cubic form) [214,215] and $\text{Ti}_{10}\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{16}$ [65,230] respectively are shown in Scheme 31.

Further examples of coordination number four are given in Tables 1 and 8 and those of coordination number six in Schemes 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 20 and 27.


G. CONCLUSIONS

Unfortunately, we must conclude on a somewhat pessimistic note insofar as we are still a long way from any predictive generalizations. The change from



Scheme 31. Cl(5)–Hg=2.36 Å; Cl(4)–Hg=2.42 Å; Cl(3)–Hg=2.46 Å; Cl(3)–Hg–Cl(4)=101.0°; Cl(3)–Hg–Cl(5)=120.2°; Cl(4)–Hg–Cl(5)=137.7°.

Hg–Cl; Cl–Hg–Cl; 2.386 Å; 2.453 Å × 2; 2.458 Å; 103.1° (× 2); 104.8°; 112.9° (× 2); 118.6°.



Hg–Cl(ax)=2.518 Å (× 2); Hg–Cl(eq)=2.640 Å (× 3); Cl(eq)–Hg–Cl(eq)=120°; Cl(ax)–Hg–Cl(ax)=90°.
Hg–Cl(ax)=2.360 Å (× 2); Hg–Cl(eq)=3.098 Å (× 4); Cl–Hg–Cl=90° or 180°.

[HgCl₃][−]_n chains to Hg₂Cl₆^{2−} dimers can be easily visualized (Scheme 5), but just what cationic influences are necessary are not yet defined.

On the more positive side, there is every good prospect that Hg_xCl_y^{5−} anions will be eventually discovered, but whether the geometry adopted will follow Scheme 35 is speculative.

However, patterns are emerging [231] with an HgCl₂ core plus either HgCl₄^{2−} or Cl[−] “ligands” allowing some systematization in the Hg₃Cl_y^{n−} anions (Schemes 3 and 23).


In the final analysis it may well be that almost any Hg_xCl_y^{n−} anion can be designed, given the appropriate charge and lattice-space considerations. Table II lists the Hg_xCl_y^{n−} anion systems investigated in our laboratories.

H. APPENDIX

(i) Bond length–bond angle correlations


Bond length vs. bond angle correlation diagrams are presented for the systems:

(i) Cl[−] + HgCl₂ → HgCl₃ (Scheme 2) (Table 13) (Fig. 1); (ii) HgCl₄^{2−} + HgCl₂ → Hg₂Cl₆^{2−} (Scheme 32) (Table 14) (Fig. 2); (iii) 2Cl[−] + HgCl₂ → HgCl₄^{2−} (Scheme 33) (Table 15) (Fig. 3).




Scheme 32.

For (i), extrapolation of the correlation to a Cl–Hg–Cl angle of 180° shows that an approach greater than 2.93 ± 0.02 Å will be effectively non-distorting.



Scheme 33.

Fig. 1. Plot of Cl–Hg–Cl angle (°) vs. Hg–Cl distance (Å) for “HgCl₃[–]” fragments.

For (ii) (Scheme 32), the majority of Hg₂Cl₆^{2–} units have unequal Hg–Cl (bridge) and Hg–Cl (terminal) lengths and we have used the mean Hg–Cl (bridge) length vs. Cl–Hg–Cl (terminal) angle (Table 8). The best fit line through the data, constrained to 109° and 2.50 Å at the “tetrahedral” end, when extrapolated to 180° (i.e. no HgCl₄^{2–}–HgCl₂ interaction) gives values of 2.98 ± 0.02 Å as the upper limit.

There are only a small number of examples for (iii) (Scheme 33) (Table 15) where there are two long Cl–Hg interactions with a slightly bent Cl–Hg–Cl angle. The weakest interacting example is observed in [Me₂NH₂⁺][Hg₂Cl₅[–]] [8] where the next-nearest Hg···Cl interactions at 2.904 and 2.972 Å are able to cause an 8.4° bending of the Cl–Hg–Cl unit. The strongest interactions will be in the regular HgCl₄^{2–} unit (Table 1). Our data selection is somewhat subjective, but we have

TABLE 13

Cl–Hg–Cl angle vs. Hg·····Cl distance in HgCl₃[−] fragments (Scheme 2)^a

Cl–Hg–Cl angle (°)	Hg·····Cl distance (Å)	Reference
178.3	2.997	38
178.8	2.979	83
167.8	2.937	9
170.8	2.91	206
171.6	2.904	8
158.0	2.869	38
158.0	2.840	8
172.2	2.777	38
162.3	2.749	177
155.6	2.693	111
157.7	2.680	232
165.3	2.673	18
148.4	2.668	9
147.2	2.662	38
143.4	2.637	9
154.2	2.619	227
139.3	2.614	189
141.0	2.545	50
142.1	2.54	29
132.8	2.525	51
131.5	2.520	8
138.3	2.504	57
130.0	2.503	55
138.4	2.485	53
119.2	2.46	49
137.7	2.46	29
124.2	2.451	39
122.9	2.444	52
125.5	2.434	54
124.9	2.434	56
115.8	2.432	57
120.0	2.431	55
121.2	2.425	48
119.7	2.421	48
120.2	2.42	29
123.0	2.42	49
114.2	2.406	56
120.5	2.400	56
114.0	2.40	29
105.3	2.391	57
108.0	2.371	55
101.0	2.35	29
108.4	2.345	53
103.2	2.29	29

^aSee Fig. 1.

TABLE 14

Cl–Hg–Cl terminal angle in $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ units vs. Hg–Cl·····Hg bridging distance (Scheme 32)^a

Cl–Hg–Cl terminal angle (°)	Hg–Cl bridge distance (Å)		Reference
	Short	Long	
167.3	2.80	3.02	29
165.2	2.742	2.881	18
164.7	2.780	2.812	44
160	2.71	2.82	7
158.8	2.85	2.86	29
158.0	2.750	2.840	8
156.5	2.732	2.846	2
153.6	2.710	2.835	192
151.1	2.725	2.759	18
148.0	2.668	2.736	8
143.3	2.637	2.637	9
141.3	2.648	2.717	186
140	2.67	2.76	187
132.2	2.60	2.696	47
130.9	2.572	2.749	44
123.6	2.597	2.638	187
121.9	2.56	2.67	73
119	2.55	2.70	187

^aSee Fig. 2.

difficulty describing the weak interaction cases (Scheme 33) — are they linear HgCl_2 molecules distorted by the close approach of the two chloride ions, or are they highly distorted HgCl_4^{2-} units? Nevertheless, extrapolation of these data (Fig. 3) to 180° again shows that, at a (mean) distance of greater than 3.02 ± 0.01 Å, the chloride ions have no effect on the Cl–Hg–Cl angle. We would suggest that at distances of less than 3.02 Å Cl–Hg bonds are formed, and the structural unit is best described as a highly distorted HgCl_4^{2-} species.

(ii) *Structural units predicted on the core plus anion concepts*

Schemes 13 and 16 show that the known $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_7^{3-}$ and $\text{Hg}_4\text{Cl}_{14}^{6-}$ anions can be regarded as an $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-} + \text{Cl}^-$ unit and an $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-} + 2\text{HgCl}_4^{2-}$ unit respectively. This has led us to speculate on other potential $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{n-}$ systems. Thus we could predict Schemes 34, 35 and 36 as possible structures based on the $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ core plus Cl^- or HgCl_4^{2-} combinations.

While there is evidence for $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$ (Section D. (ii) (b)), it is not of the type shown in Scheme 34, and the known $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$ anion (Scheme 23), while related to




Fig. 2. Plot of Cl—Hg—Cl terminal angle ($^{\circ}$) vs. mean Hg—Cl (bridge) distance ($\text{\AA}$) in $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_6^{2-}$ fragments.

TABLE 15

Data for $2\text{Cl}^- + \text{HgCl}_2$ interactions (Scheme 33)^a

Compound	Angle ($^{\circ}$)	Hg—Cl(long) ($\text{\AA}$)	Hg—Cl(mean) ($\text{\AA}$)	Reference
$[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} \cdot [\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_9]^{3-} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	175.9	2.949, 3.069	3.009	44
$[\text{H}_3\text{dpt}^{3+}]_2[\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{12}]^{6-}$	172.6	2.810, 3.081	2.945	44
$[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2^+][\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_5^-]$	171.6	2.904, 2.972	2.938	8
	162	2.797, 2.760	2.778	8
$[\text{MeNH}_3^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	160.1	2.712, 2.817	2.765	7
	157.7	2.680, 2.861	2.765	28
$[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	156.5	2.732, 2.846	2.789	8
	148.4	2.736, 2.668	2.702	8
$[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}^+][\text{HgCl}_3^-]$	143.3	2.637, 2.637	2.637	9

^aSee Fig. 3.





Fig. 3. Plot of Cl-Hg-Cl angle (°) vs. mean Hg-Cl distance (Å) in distorted HgCl_4^{2-} fragments.





Scheme 34. Proposed $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_8^{4-}$.

Scheme 36, has only single chloro bridges to the central Hg with more symmetry than in Scheme 36. $\text{Hg}_x\text{Cl}_y^{5-}$ anions (Scheme 35) have not yet been characterized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the University Grants Committee for providing funds for instruments used in this research and M. Aldridge, S.E. Miller, Huo Wen, J. Wikaira, G. Thiele

Scheme 35. Proposed $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{11}^{5-}$.Scheme 36. Proposed $\text{Hg}_3\text{Cl}_{10}^{4-}$.

(University of Freiburg im Breisgau) and M. Taylor (University of Auckland) for their helpful contributions. We also acknowledge the contribution made to this work by the late G.H. Searle (University of Adelaide).

REFERENCES

- 1 G.A. Bowmaker, *Adv. Spectrosc.*, 14 (1987) 1.
- 2 D. Grdenic, *Quart. Rev.*, 19 (1965) 303.
- 3 Z.V. Zvonkova, V.V. Samodurova and L.G. Vorontsova, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 102 (1955) 115; *Chem. Abstr.*, 50 (1956) 1407.
- 4 K. Aurivillius and C. Stålhandske, *Acta. Chem. Scand., Ser. A*, 27 (1973) 1086.
- 5 K. Aurivillius and C. Stålhandske, *Acta. Chem. Scand., Ser. A*, 30 (1976) 735.
- 6 C.H. MacGillivray, J.H. de Wilde and J.M. Bijvoet, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 100 (1938) 212; *Chem. Abstr.*, 33 (1939) 238.
- 7 A. Ben Salah, J.W. Bats, R. Kalus, H. Fuess and A. Daoud, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 493 (1982) 178; *Z. Kristallogr.*, 154 (1981) 307; *Z. Kristallogr.*, 159 (1982) 15; *Solid State Commun.*, 56 (1985) 137.
- 8 A. Ben Salah, J.W. Bats, H. Fuess and A. Daoud, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 63 (1982) 169; *Z. Kristallogr.*, 159 (1982) 15.
- 9 A. Ben Salah, J.W. Bats, H. Fuess and A. Daoud, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 164 (1983) 259.
- 10 N. Hadjiliadis, A. Yannopoulos and R. Bau, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 69 (1983) 109.
- 11 R. Mason, G.B. Robertson and G.A. Rusholme, *Acta. Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 30 (1974) 894.

- 12 I.D. Vezzosi, A. Benedetti, A. Albinati, F. Ganazzoli, F. Cariati and L. Pellicciari, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 90 (1984) 1.
- 13 S.A. Linde, A.Y. Mikhailova, V.I. Pakhomov, V.V. Kirilenko and V.G. Shulga, *Koord. Khim.*, 9 (1983) 998; *Chem. Abstr.*, 99 (1983) 149952.
- 14 N.W. Alcock, E.H. Curzon and P. Moore, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1984) 2813.
- 15 N.W. Alcock, E.H. Curzon, N. Herron and P. Moore, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1979) 1987.
- 16 M.R. Burke and M.F. Richardson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 69 (1983) 29.
- 17 D.E. Scaife, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 24 (1971) 1753.
- 18 D.A. House, V. McKee and W.T. Robinson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 157 (1989) 15.
- 19 D.A. House, W.T. Robinson and V. McKee, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 160 (1989) 171.
- 20 L. Menabue, G.C. Pellacani, A. Albinati, F. Ganazzoli, F. Cariati and G. Rassu, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 58 (1982) 227.
- 21 W. Clegg, M.L. Brown and L.J.A. Wilson, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 32 (1976) 2905.
- 22 D.A. House, W.T. Robinson, M. Taylor, V. McKee, S. Miller and M. Aldridge, unpublished.
- 23 L. Book and T.C.W. Mak, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 77 (1983) L57.
- 24 G. Ferguson, J.A.D. Jeffreys and G.A. Sim, *J. Chem. Soc. (B)*, (1966) 454.
- 25 J.A.D. Jeffreys, G.A. Sim, R.H. Burnell, W.I. Taylor, R.E. Corbett, J. Murray and B.J. Sweetman, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, (1963) 171.
- 26 B. Kamenar and A. Nagl, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 32 (1976) 1414.
- 27 T. Asahi, K. Hasebe and K. Gesi, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Sect. B*, 60 (1991) 921.
- 28 G. Chessa, G. Marangoni, B. Pitteri, V. Bentolasi, V. Ferretti and G. Gilli, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1988) 1479.
- 29 R.C. Larock, L.D. Burns, S. Varaprath, C.E. Russell, J.W. Richardson, Jr., M.N. Janakirman and R.A. Jacobson, *Organometallics*, 6 (1987) 1780.
- 30 H. Sakaguchi, S. Yoshii, K. Miyamoto, Y. Iitaka, H. Takayanagi and H. Ogura, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 38 (1990) 845.
- 31 D. Putzas, H.W. Rotter, G. Thiele, K. Brodersen and G. Pezzeti, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 595 (1991) 193.
- 32 R.E. Cramer and M.J.J. Carrié, *Inorg. Chem.*, 29 (1990) 3902.
- 33 G.B. Deacon, *Rev. Pure Appl. Chem.*, 13 (1963) 189.
- 34 P.A.W. Dean, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 24 (1978) 109.
- 35 D. Grdenic, Connections in the crystal structure of mercury compounds, in D. Dodson, J.P. Glusker and D. Sayne (Eds.), *Structural Studies of Molecules of Biological Interest*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1981, p. 207.
- 36 L.G. Kuz'mina and Y.T. Struchkov, *Croat. Chem. Acta*, 57 (1984) 701; *Chem. Abstr.*, 102 (1985) 203994.
- 37 K. Brodersen and H.-U. Hummel, in G. Wilkinson (Ed.), *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, Vol. 5, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987, Sect. 56.2, p. 1047.
- 38 A. Terzis, D. Mentzafos and H.A. Tazmir-Riahi, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 101 (1985) 77.
- 39 P. Biscarini, L. Fusina, G. Nivellini and G. Pelizzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1977) 664.
- 40 A.J. Canty and G.B. Deacon, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 45 (1980) L225.
- 41 H.B. Burgi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 14 (1975) 460.
- 42 H.B. Burgi and J.D. Dunitz, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 16 (1983) 153.
- 43 J.D. Dunitz, *X-ray Analysis and the Structure of Molecules*, Cornell University Press, New York, 1979, Ch. 7.
- 44 H. Wen, S.E. Miller, D.A. House, V. McKee and W.T. Robinson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 193 (1992) 77.

- 45 K. Kashiwabara, A. Konaka and M. Kimura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 46 (1973) 410.
- 46 P.A. von Bonsdorff, *Ann. Chim. Phys.*, 34 (1827) 142.
- 47 T.J. Kistenmacher, M. Rossi, C.C. Chiang, R.P. Van Duyne and A.R. Siedle, *Inorg. Chem.*, 19 (1980) 3604.
- 48 M. Sikirica, D. Grdenic and I. Vickovic, *Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 11 (1982) 1299.
- 49 J.K. Mohana Rao and R.K. Rajaram, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 160 (1982) 219.
- 50 M. Korfer, H. Fuess, J.W. Bats and G. Klebe, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 525 (1985) 23.
- 51 P.M. Boorman, C.L. Meritt, W.A. Shantha Nandana and J.F. Richardson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1986) 1251.
- 52 M. Sandström and D.H. Liem, *Acta. Chem. Scand., Ser. A*, 32 (1978) 509.
- 53 K. Weidenhammer and M.L. Ziegler, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 434 (1977) 152.
- 54 J. Pickardt and T. Schendler, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 37 (1982) 930.
- 55 M.E. Sobhia, K. Panneerselvam, K.K. Chacko, I.-H. Suh, E. Weber and C. Reutel, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 194 (1992) 93.
- 56 T.S. Lobana, S.A. Mbogo, W.R. McWhinnie, W.C. Patalinghug and A.H. White, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 390 (1990) 29.
- 57 K.A. Byriel, K.R. Dunsten, L.R. Gahan, C.H.L. Kennard and J.L. Latten, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 196 (1992) 35.
- 58 L. Gmelin, *Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry*, Vol. 34, Mercury, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967, part 3, Section 2.
- 59 J.W. Mellor, *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry*, Vol. 4, Longmans-Green, London, 1923, p. 848.
- 60 D. Strömholm, *J. Prakt. Chem.*, 66 (2) (1902) 423, 517; *Ber.*, 31 (1898) 2283; *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 126 (1923) 129.
- 61 J. Reedijk and W.L. Groenveld, *Rect. Trav. Chim. Pay-Bas*, 88 (1969) 655.
- 62 J. Reedijk, *Methyl Cyanide as a Ligand*, Thesis, University of Leiden, 1968.
- 63 H. Wen, PhD Thesis, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, 1991.
- 64 H.W. Foote and L.H. Levy, *Am. Chem. J.*, 35 (1906) 236; 30 (1903) 339.
- 65 K. Brodersen, K.P. Jensen and G. Thiele, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 35 (1980) 253; *Chem. Abstr.*, 93 (1980) 17241.
- 66 S.L. Penfield, *Am. J. Sci.*, 44 (3) (1892) 311.
- 67 H.L. Wells, *Am. J. Sci.*, 44 (3) (1892) 221; *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2 (1892) 402.
- 68 J.D. Holmes, *Chem. News*, 5 (1862) 351.
- 69 P.A. Meerburg, *Chem. Weekbl.*, 5 (1908) 429; *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 59 (1908) 138.
- 70 M.A. Hooper and D.W. James, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 24 (1971) 1331, 1345.
- 71 G. Wulfsberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15 (1976) 1791.
- 72 R.M. Barr and M. Goldstein, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1976) 1593.
- 73 P.L. Goggin, P. King, D.M. McEwan, G.E. Taylor, P. Woodward and M. Sandström, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1982) 875.
- 74 L.V. Kononov and K.A. Davarski, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 14 (1986) 201.
- 75 P.A. Akishin, V.P. Spiridonov and A.N. Khodchenkov, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.*, 33 (1959) 20; *Chem. Abstr.*, 54 (1960) 6226.
- 76 H. Braekken and W. Scholton, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 89 (1934) 448; *Chem. Abstr.*, 29 (1935) 3572.
- 77 H. Braekken and L. Harang, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 68 (1928) 123.
- 78 H. Brusset and F. Madaule-Aubry, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, (1966) 3121.
- 79 D. Grdenic, *Ark. Kemi*, 22 (1950) 14; *Chem. Abstr.*, 46 (1952) 9926.
- 80 V. Subramanian and K. Seff, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 36 (1980) 2132.
- 81 S. Akabori, H. Munegumi, Y. Habata, S. Sato, K. Kawazoe, C. Tamura and M. Sato, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 58 (1985) 2185.

- 82 M. Sandström, *Acta. Chem. Scand.*, Ser. A, 32 (1978) 607, 627.
- 83 S.E. Miller, H. Wen, D.A. House and W.T. Robinson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 184 (1991) 111.
- 84 M.D. Glick, W.H. Ilsley and A.R. Siedle, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 3819.
- 85 P. Biscarini, L. Fusina, G.D. Nivellini, A. Mangia and G. Pelizzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1974) 1846.
- 86 P. Biscarini, L. Fusina, G. Nivellini and G. Pelizzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1981) 1024.
- 87 P. Biscarini and G.D. Nivellini, *J. Chem. Soc., (A)*, (1969) 2206.
- 88 P. Biscarini and G. Pelizzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1988) 2915.
- 89 C.I. Bränden, *Ark. Kemi*, 22 (1950) 83, 495, 485.
- 90 K.K. Cheung and G.A. Sim, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1965) 5988.
- 91 M.T. Averbuch-Pouchot, N. El-Horr and J.C. Guitel, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 40 (1984) 725.
- 92 J.A. Carrabine and M. Sundaralingham, *Biochemistry*, 10 (1971) 292.
- 93 A.T. McPhail and G.A. Sim, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1966) 21.
- 94 F. Madaule-Aubry and H. Gillier-Pandraud, *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, 260 (1965) 6613.
- 95 F. Genet and J.C. Leguen, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 25 (1969) 2029.
- 96 P. Biscarini, L. Fusina, G.D. Nivellini, A. Magnia and G. Pelizzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1973) 159.
- 97 Y.T. Struchkov, A.I. Kitaigorodskij and T.L. Khotsyanova, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 93 (1953) 657; *Chem. Abstr.*, 48 (1954) 5591.
- 98 P. Groth and O. Hassel, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 18 (1964) 1327.
- 99 O. Hassel and J. Hvoslef, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 8 (1954) 1953.
- 100 R. Iwamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 46 (1973) 1114, 1118, 1123.
- 101 R. Iwamoto, Y. Saito, H. Ishihara and H. Todokoro, *J. Polym. Sci.*, 6 (1968) 1509.
- 102 C.I. Bränden, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 17 (1963) 1363.
- 103 A. Cooper, E.M. Gopalakrishna and A.D. Norton, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 24 (1968) 935.
- 104 S. Dahl and P. Groth, *Acta. Chem. Scand.*, 25 (1971) 1114.
- 105 D.M.L. Goodgame, D.J. Williams and R.E.P. Winpenny, *Polyhedron*, 8 (1989) 1913.
- 106 S. Wang, S.J. Trepanier, J.-C. Zhen, Z. Pang and M.J. Wagner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 31 (1992) 2118.
- 107 P.J.M.W.L. Birker, H.C. Freeman, J.M. Guss and A.D. Watson, *Acta. Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 33 (1977) 182.
- 108 C.R. Paige and M.F. Richardson, *Can. J. Chem.*, 62 (1984) 332.
- 109 M.J. Adams, D.C. Hodgkin and U.A. Raebur, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1970) 2632.
- 110 G. Sawitzki and H.G. von Schnering, *Chem. Ber.*, 107 (1974) 3266.
- 111 M. Korfer and H. Fuess, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 183 (1988) 27.
- 112 M. Cannas, F.A. Devillanova, G. Marongiu and G. Verani, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 43 (1981) 2383.
- 113 P.D. Brotherton, P.C. Healey, C.L. Raston and A.H. White, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1973) 334.
- 114 K.K. Cheung, R.S. McEwen and G.A. Sim, *Nature*, 205 (1965) 383.
- 115 M. Sakakibana, Y. Yonemura, Z. Tanaka, S. Matsumoto, K. Fukuyama, H. Matsuura and H. Murata, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 69 (1980) 53.
- 116 T.S. Lobana, M.K. Sandhu, M.J. Liddell and E.R.T. Tiekink, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1990) 691.
- 117 T.S. Lobana, R. Singh, M.S. Sandhu and E.R.T. Tiekink, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2 (1991) 29.
- 118 W.R. Costello, A.T. McPhail and G.A. Sim, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, (1966) 1190.

- 119 R.S. McEwan and G.A. Sim, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, (1967) 271.
- 120 N.W. Alcock, N. Herron and P. Moore, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1976) 887.
- 121 A. Korczynski, *Rocz. Chem.*, 42 (1968) 1207; *Chem. Abstr.*, 70 (1969) 32458.
- 122 F.H. Herbststein, P. Ashkenazi, M. Kaftory, M. Kapon, G.M. Reisner and D. Ginsberg, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, (1986) 575.
- 123 M. Rolies and C.J. de Ranter, *Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 6 (1977) 157.
- 124 D. Grdenic and I. Krstanovic, *Ark. Kemi*, 27 (1955) 143; *Chem. Abstr.*, 50 (1956) 5361.
- 125 J.D. Dunitz, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 10 (1957) 307.
- 126 A.J. Canty, C.L. Raston, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1982) 15.
- 127 A. Albinati, S.V. Meille, F. Cariati, G. Marcotrigiano, L. Menabue and G.C. Pellacani, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 38 (1980) 221.
- 128 M. Louloudi, N. Hadjiliadis, J.-A. Feng, S. Sukumar and R. Bau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 112 (1990) 7233.
- 129 D.W. Allen, N.A. Bell, S.T. Fong, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 99 (1985) 157.
- 130 N.A. Bell, T.D. Dee, M. Goldstein, P.J. McKenna and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 71 (1983) 135.
- 131 N.A. Bell, T.D. Dee, P.L. Goggin, M. Goldstein, R.J. Goodfellow, T. Jones, K. Kessler, D.M. McEwan and I.W. Nowell, *J. Chem. Res.*, 2(M) (1981) 201; 2(S) (1981) 2.
- 132 P.K. Sen Gupta, L.W. Houk, D. Van Der Helm and M.B. Hossain, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 44 (1980) 235L.
- 133 S.W. Cowan, D. Dakternieks, R.W. Gable, B.F. Hoskins, C.L. Rolls and E.R.T. Tiekink, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 39 (1986) 547.
- 134 N.A. Bell, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 156 (1989) 195.
- 135 P.R. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 25 (1986) 4185.
- 136 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, T. Jones, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 61 (1982) 83.
- 137 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, T. Jones and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 43 (1980) 87.
- 138 N.A. Bell, T.D. Dee, M. Goldstein and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 70 (1983) 215.
- 139 N.A. Bell, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 162 (1989) 57.
- 140 S. Kulpe, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 349 (1967) 314.
- 141 K.M. Doxsee, E.M. Hanawalt, G.S. Shen, T.J.R. Weakley, H. Hope and K.B. Knobler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 30 (1991) 3381.
- 142 W.J. Kozarek and Q. Fernando, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12 (1973) 2129.
- 143 P.D. Brotherton, J.M. Epstein, A.H. White and A.C. Willis, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1974) 2341.
- 144 L.S.D. Glasser, L. Ingram, M.G. King and G.P. McQuillan, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, (1969) 2501.
- 145 R.K. Chadka, J.E. Drake, N.T. McManus and A. Mislankar, *Can. J. Chem.*, 65 (1987) 2305.
- 146 M. Authier-Martin and A.L. Beauchamp, *Can. J. Chem.*, 55 (1977) 1213.
- 147 J. Seiler, U. Braun, B. Eulitz and E. Hoyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 566 (1988) 131.
- 148 N.K. Dalley and S.B. Larson, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 37 (1981) 2225.
- 149 A.M. Ciplys, R.J. Geue and M.R. Snow, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1976) 35.
- 150 A.J. Canty and N.J. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1976) 214.
- 151 S. Sato, M. Sato and S. Akabori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 62 (1989) 532.
- 152 X.-M. Chen and T.C.W. Mak, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1992) 1585.
- 153 J.A. Cabeza, J.M. Fernandez-Colinas, S. Garcia-Granda, V. Riera and J.F. Van der Maelen, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1991) 168.
- 154 A. Balch and V.J. Catalano, *Inorg. Chem.*, 31 (1992) 2730.

- 155 R.S. McEwan, G.A. Sim and C.R. Johnson, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1967) 885.
- 156 R.R. Guimerans, B.C. Noll, M.M. Olmstead and A.L. Balch, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 187 (1991) 181.
- 157 I. Casals, P. Gonzalez-Durante, W. Clegg, C. Foces-Foces, F.H. Cano, M. Martinez-Ripoll, M. Gomez and X. Solans, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1991) 2511.
- 158 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1984) 1621.
- 159 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, T. Jones and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 28 (1978) L169.
- 160 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, T. Jones and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 48 (1981) 185.
- 161 P.L. Bellon, F. Demartin, F.A. Devillanova, F. Isaia and G. Verani, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 18 (1988) 253; F. Cristiani, F.A. Devillanova, F. Isaia, G. Verani, L. Battaglia and A.B. Corradi, *J. Chem. Res. (S)*, (1989) 32.
- 162 M. Authier-Martin, J. Hubert, R. Rivest and A.L. Beauchamp, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 34 (1978) 273.
- 163 R.J. Majeste and L.M. Trefonas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11 (1972) 1834.
- 164 M.R. Udupa and B. Krebs, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 40 (1980) 161.
- 165 N.B. Behrens, B.A. Cartwright, D.M.L. Goodgame and A.C. Skapski, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 31 (1978) L471.
- 166 F. Seel and H.W. Heyer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 456 (1979) 217.
- 167 N.A. Bell, M. Goldstein, T. Jones and I.W. Nowell, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 36 (1980) 708.
- 168 P.D. Brotherton and A.H. White, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1973) 2698.
- 169 C. Stalhandske and F. Zintl, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 42 (1986) 1449.
- 170 J.R. Allan, A.D. Paton, A.J. Blake and K. Turvey, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 45 (1989) 1422.
- 171 I. Casals, P. Gonzalez-Durante, J. Sola, C. Miravittles and E. Molins, *Polyhedron*, 7 (1988) 2509.
- 172 N.A. Bell, N.A. Chudley, L.A. March and I.W. Nowell, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 141 (1988) 155.
- 173 R.M. Barr and M. Goldstein, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 10 (1974) 33.
- 174 R.M. Barr and M. Goldstein, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1974) 1181.
- 175 E.J. Harmsen, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 100 (1938) 208; *Chem. Abstr.*, 33 (1939) 2387.
- 176 H.F. McMurdie, J. de Groot, M. Morris and H.E. Swanson, *Bull. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand., Sect. A*, 73 (1969) 621; *Chem. Abstr.*, 72 (1970) 17989.
- 177 K. Sagisawa, K. Kitahama, H. Kiriya and R. Kiriya, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 30 (1974) 1603.
- 178 A. Weiss and K. Damm, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 9 (1954) 82; *Chem. Abstr.*, 48 (1954) 7975.
- 179 S.S. Malcic, *Bull. Inst. Sci.*, "Boris Kidrich", 9 (1959) 115; *Chem. Abstr.*, 53 (1959) 18587.
- 180 D.V. Ninkovic, *Bull. Inst. Sci.*, "Boris Kidrich", 7 (1957) 81; *Chem. Abstr.*, 52 (1958) 2493f.
- 181 J.T.R. Dunsmuir and A.P. Lane, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 33 (1971) 4361.
- 182 P.S. Chaari, A. Ben Salah, T. Jouini and A. Daoud, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 45 (1989) 1495.
- 183 J.W. Bats, H. Fuess and A. Daoud, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 36 (1980) 2150.
- 184 M. Authier-Martin and A.L. Beauchamp, *Can. J. Chem.*, 53 (1975) 2345.
- 185 T.J. Kistenmacher, M. Rossi, C.C. Chiang, R.P. Van Duyne, T. Cape and A.R. Siedle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 100 (1978) 1958.
- 186 M.N. Ponnuswamy and J. Trotter, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 40 (1984) 1671.
- 187 R.P. Shibaeva and L.P. Rozenberg, *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.*, 26 (1981) 695; *Chem. Abstr.*, 96 (1982) 27120.
- 188 E.I.L. Zhilyaeva, R.N. Lyubovskaya, M.L. Khidekel, R.P. Shibaeva, L.P. Rozenberg, M.A. Simonov, O. Ya. Neiland and D.B. Bite, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR*, 250 (1980) 868; *Chem. Abstr.*, 93 (1980) 46478.

- 189 L. Book, A.J. Canty and C. Chieh, *Can. J. Chem.*, 59 (1981) 138.
- 190 R.S. Glass, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 23 (1982) 2335; see also *J. Org. Chem.*, 51 (1986) 1815.
- 191 R.P. Shibaeva and L.P. Rosenberg, *Kristallografiya*, 33 (1988) 1402; *Chem. Abstr.*, 110 (1989) 67332.
- 192 K. Brodersen, G. Pezzeri and G. Thiele, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 499 (1983) 169.
- 193 G. Chessa, G. Marangoni, B. Pitteri, V. Bertolasi, V. Ferretti and G. Gilli, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1990) 915.
- 194 D.J. Shi and M. Kaneko, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 51 (1990) 155; R. Ramaraj, A. Kira and M. Kaneko, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 56 (1991) 287.
- 195 M.G.B. Drew, K.C. Lee and K.F. Mok, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 155 (1989) 39.
- 196 P.T. Beurskens, W.P.J.H. Bosman and J.A. Cross, *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.*, 2 (1972) 183.
- 197 A. Bino and N. Cohen, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 141 (1988) 5.
- 198 K. Brodersen, G. Pezzeri and G. Thiele, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 499 (1983) 209.
- 199 J.C. Contreras, G.V. Seguel and W. Hönlle, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 68 (1980) 1.
- 200 P. O'Brien, W.T. Robinson and P.A. Williams, unpublished.
- 201 D.A. Pears, J.F. Stoddart, J. Crosby, B.L. Allwood and D.J. Williams, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 42 (1986) 804.
- 202 V.I. Pakhomov, A.V. Goryunov, I.N. Ivanova-Korfini, A.A. Boguslavskii and R.Sh. Lotfullin, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, 37 (1992) 526; *Chem. Abstr.*, 117 (1992) 101547.
- 203 V.I. Pakhomov, A.V. Goryunov, V.V. Gladkii, I.N. Ivanova-Korfini and S.N. Kallaev, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, 37 (1992) 1447; *Chem. Abstr.*, 117 (1992) 202207.
- 204 A. Ben Salah, A. Daoud, G. Constant, J. Jaud and J. Galy, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 39 (1983) 63.
- 205 J. Krishna and M. Rao, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, 7 (1969) 64; *Chem. Abstr.*, 70 (1969) 91517.
- 206 D.A. House, W.T. Robinson, V. McKee, S. Miller and H. Wen, unpublished.
- 207 P. Pfeiffer, P. Koch, T.G. Lando and A. Trieschmann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 56 (1908) 279.
- 208 V. McKee, D.A. House and W.T. Robinson, unpublished.
- 209 N.V. Podberezhskaya, A.V. Belyaev, V.V. Bakakin and I.A. Baidina, *Zh. Strukt. Khim.*, 22 (1981) 32; *Chem. Abstr.*, 96 (1982) 134794.
- 210 R.W. Baker, M.J. Braithwaite and R.S. Nyholm, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1972) 1924.
- 211 R.M. Barr, M. Goldstein, T.N.D. Hairs, N. McPartlin and A.J. Markwell, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1974) 221.
- 212 A. Ben Salah, A. Daoud, J.W. Bats and H. Fuess, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 65 (1986) 151.
- 213 A. Ben Salah, A. Daoud, J.E. Miane, J. Ravez and P. Hagenmuller, *Rev. Chim. Miner.*, 21 (1984) 795.
- 214 W. Clegg, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1982) 593.
- 215 W. Clegg, D.A. Greenhalgh and B.P. Straughan, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1975) 2591.
- 216 A.W. Herlinger, J.N. Brown, M.A. Dwyer and S.F. Parkovic, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 2366.
- 217 L.P. Battaglia, A.B. Corradi, L. Antolini, T. Manfredini, L. Menabue, G.C. Pellacani and G. Pontecalli, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1986) 2529.
- 218 P.M. Federov, V.I. Pakhomov and I.N. Ivanova-Korfini, *Koord. Khim.*, 1 (1975) 1569; *Chem. Abstr.*, 84 (1976) 37507.
- 219 V.I. Pakhomov, P.M. Federov and G.G. Sadikov, *Kristallografiya*, 23 (1978) 615; *Chem. Abstr.*, 89 (1978) 121165.
- 220 R. Sjövall and C. Svensson, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 44 (1988) 207.
- 221 K. Brodersen, K.P. Jensen and G. Thiel, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 35 (1980) 259.
- 222 M.C. Cimolino and R.G. Linck, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 3499.
- 223 P.M. Federov and V.I. Pakhomov, *Koord. Khim.*, 1 (1975) 670; *Chem. Abstr.*, 83 (1975) 124436.

- 224 R.M. Lyubovskaya, R.B. Lyubovskii, R.P. Shibaeva, M.Z. Aldoshina, L.M. Gol'denberg, L.P. Rozenberg, M.L. Khidekel' and Yu. F. Shul'pyakov, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, 42 (1985) 380; 45 (1987) 416; 46 (1987) 149; *JETP Lett.*, 42 (1985) 468; 45 (1987) 530; 46 (1987) 188.
- 225 G.G. Schlessinger, *Inorganic Laboratory Preparations*, Chem. Pub. Co., 1962, p. 216.
- 226 H. Bassett, G.W. Barton, A.R. Forster and C.R.J. Pateman, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1933) 151.
- 227 A. Aharoni, M. Kapon and G.M. Reisner, *Acta Crystallogr.*, Sect. C, 45 (1989) 40.
- 228 D. Putzas, H.W. Rotter and G. Thiele, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 182 (1988) 212; D. Putzas, Thesis, Albert-Ludwigs University, Freiburg in Breisgau, Germany, 1987.
- 229 A.F. Wells, *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 5th edn., 1984, p. 1156.
- 230 K. Brodersen, G. Thiele and G. Görz, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 401 (1973) 217.
- 231 G. Ellendt and K. Cruse, *Z. Phys. Chem.*, 201 (1952) 130.
- 232 L. Antolini, A. Benedetti, A.C. Fabretti, A. Giusti and M.C. Menziani, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1988) 1075.
- 233 R.P. Shibaeva, L.P. Rozenberg and M.Z. Aldoshina, *Kristallografiya*, 33 (1988) 125; *Chem. Abstr.*, 108 (1988) 196179.
- 234 M. Korfer, H. Feuss and J.W. Bats, *European Cryst. Meet.*, 9 (1985) 517.